

NuSTAR view of the Seyfert galaxy HE 0436-4717

R. Middei¹, F. Vagnetti², F. Tombesi^{2,3,4,5}, S. Bianchi¹, A. Marinucci¹, F. Ursini⁶, and A. Tortosa⁷

¹ Dipartimento di Matematica e Fisica, Università degli Studi Roma Tre, via della Vasca Navale 84, 00146 Roma, Italy
e-mail: riccardo.middei@uniroma3.it

² Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Roma “Tor Vergata”, via della Ricerca Scientifica 1, 00133 Roma, Italy

³ INAF Astronomical Observatory of Rome, Via Frascati 33, 00078 Monteporzio Catone, Italy

⁴ Department of Astronomy, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, USA

⁵ NASA/Goddard Space Flight Center, Code 662, Greenbelt, MD 20771, USA

⁶ INAF – Osservatorio di astrofisica e scienza dello spazio di Bologna, Via Piero Gobetti 93/3, 40129 Bologna, Italy

⁷ INAF/Istituto di Astrofisica e Planetologie Spaziali, Via Fosso del Cavaliere, 00133 Roma, Italy

Received 8 June 2018 / Accepted 25 July 2018

ABSTRACT

We present the multi-epoch spectral analysis of HE 0436-4717, a bright Seyfert 1 galaxy serendipitously observed by the high energy satellite *NuSTAR* four times between December 2014 and December 2015. The source flux shows modest variability within each pointing and among the four observations. Spectra are well modelled in terms of a weakly variable primary power law with constant photon index ($\Gamma = 2.01 \pm 0.08$). A constant narrow Fe $K\alpha$ emission line suggests that this feature has an origin far from the central black hole, while a broad relativistic component is not required by the data. The Compton reflection component is also constant in flux with a corresponding reflection fraction $R = 0.7^{+0.2}_{-0.3}$. The iron abundance is compatible with solar ($A_{\text{Fe}} = 1.2^{+1.4}_{-0.4}$), and a lower limit for the high energy cut-off $E_c > 280$ keV is obtained. Adopting a self-consistent model accounting for a primary Comptonized continuum, we obtain a lower limit for the hot corona electron temperature $kT_e > 65$ keV and a corresponding upper limit for the coronal optical depth of $\tau_e < 1.3$. The results of the present analysis are consistent with the locus of local Seyfert galaxies in the $kT_e - \tau_e$ and temperature-compactness diagrams.

Key words. galaxies: active – galaxies: Seyfert – quasars: general – X-rays: general

1. Introduction

Active galactic nuclei (AGN) emit in all the electro-magnetic bands, from the radio to the gamma ray domain, and this broadband phenomenology is a clue to their complex structure. According to the standard paradigm, a supermassive black hole (SMBH) lying in the innermost region of the host galaxy is surrounded by a disc-shaped inflow of matter. The gravitational energy is converted into radiation and this process is responsible for the optical-ultraviolet (UV) emission of active galaxies. On the other hand, X-rays are thought to be the result of an inverse-Compton process between optical-UV photons and a distribution of hot thermal electrons (Haardt & Maraschi 1991, 1993; Haardt et al. 1994). This process takes place in a compact region close to the black hole (BH), the so-called hot corona. While the interplay of the coronal temperature (kT_e) and its optical depth (τ_e) is found to drive the AGN power-law-like spectral shape, the high energy turnover is mainly a function of the coronal temperature (Rybicki & Lightman 1979). Various high energy cut-offs were measured in the past (e.g. Perola et al. 2000; Nicastro et al. 2000; De Rosa et al. 2002), and since the launch of *NuSTAR* an increasing number of measurements have been obtained (e.g. Fabian et al. 2015, 2017; Tortosa et al. 2018). The radiation rising from the hot corona can be also reprocessed by the circumnuclear environment, thus additional spectral complexities such as the Fe $K\alpha$ emission line and a Compton hump peaking at about ~ 30 keV (Matt et al. 1991; George & Fabian 1991) are observed. The Fe $K\alpha$ line is found to be narrow and likely produced by distant material (e.g. Cappi et al. 2006; Bianchi et al. 2007, 2009)

or to be broad. When observed, the broad component may arise from neutral and ionised iron and it can be interpreted as the reflection of hard X-rays off the inner edge of an accretion disc (e.g. Fabian et al. 1989; Reynolds 2013). The broadening of the lines therefore may be the result of the relativistic effects occurring close to the BH. The Fe $K\alpha$ is often observed to be a superposition of the narrow and a broad component (e.g. Nandra et al. 2007).

In this work we discuss the spectral analysis on four serendipitous *NuSTAR* observations of HE 0436-4717 extracted from the *NuSTAR* Serendipitous Survey (Lansbury et al. 2017). The AGN HE 0436-4717 lies in the field of view of the pulsar PSR J0437-4715, which was the target of the observations (Guillot et al. 2016), and it is 4 arcmin apart. HE 0436-4717 is one of the few AGN that have been pointed by *NuSTAR* in multiple epochs, and is the second brightest among those serendipitously observed. This source is a type 1 Seyfert galaxy (Véron-Cetty & Véron 2006) lying at redshift $z = 0.053$ (Wisotzki et al. 2000), and hosting a supermassive black hole with mass $M_{\text{BH}} = 5.9 \times 10^7 M_{\odot}$, (Grupe et al. 2010). The spectral coverage of this active galactic nucleus is very peculiar since it is one of the eight AGN that have been detected in the extreme ultraviolet (EUV) band (Barstow & Holberg 2003). Moreover, a long monitoring of ~ 20 days by the Extreme UltraViolet Explorer (EUVE) revealed a possible periodic variability ($P = 0.9$ days) in the extreme ultraviolet (EUV; Halpern & Marshall 1996; Halpern et al. 2003; Leighly 2005). In the X-rays, based on ASCA and ROSAT observations, Wang et al. (1998) showed that it was possible to reproduce the HE 0436-4717 spectrum with a power law with

$\Gamma \sim 2.15$ and a black body with temperature 29 ± 2 eV accounting for the soft X-ray emission. A narrow Fe K α emission line was also detected in the two *ASCA* observations with equivalent widths of 430 ± 220 and 210 ± 110 eV respectively. Moreover, the authors found no spectral variability, while the source continuum increased in flux remarkably ($\sim 50\%$) among the pointings (four months apart) the 2–10 keV flux being in the range $2.9\text{--}4.4 \times 10^{-12}$ erg cm $^{-2}$ s $^{-1}$. [Bonson et al. \(2015\)](#) analysed more recent *XMM-Newton* and *Swift* data, testing three models: partial covering absorption, blurred reflection, and soft Comptonization. All these scenarios were consistent with the data on a purely statistical basis. On the other hand, the authors argued that if the source variability and the UV emission are taken into account, the blurred reflection model provides the best self-consistent view of the data. According to this model, [Bonson et al. \(2015\)](#) found that the emission of HE 0436-4717 is due to a primary continuum that dominates over the emission from a distant neutral reflector and a blurred ionised disc reflection. Moreover, the authors modelled the Fe K α using the sum of a very broad emission line ($\sigma = 3.6^{+3.9}_{-1.1}$ keV, $EW = 2.5$ keV) occurring at $R_{\text{in}} < 1.8 r_g$ and a narrow component ($\sigma = 1$ eV, $EW = 46$ eV) arising from distant and neutral material. This paper is organised as follows: Sect. 2 contains the data reduction and the timing properties are discussed in Sect. 3. In Sect. 4 we focus on the spectral analysis, while in Sect. 5 the results are discussed and a summary is given. Furthermore, the standard cosmology Λ CDM with $H_0 = 70$ km s $^{-1}$ Mpc $^{-1}$, $\Omega_m = 0.27$, $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.73$, is adopted.

2. Observations and data reduction

This analysis is based on *NuSTAR* ([Harrison et al. 2013](#)) data, and in particular on four serendipitous observations of HE 0436-4717, reported in the *NuSTAR* Serendipitous Survey ([Lansbury et al. 2017](#)). The first three observations are separated by approximately day, while the time that elapsed between the third and fourth observations is about one year (see Table 1). Therefore, long- and short-term flux variability and/or variation in the physical and phenomenological parameters of HE 0436-4717 can be investigated.

NuSTAR data were reduced using the pipeline (*nupipeline*) in the *NuSTAR* Data Analysis Software (*nustardas* release: *nustardas_14Apr16_v1.6.0*, part of the *heasoft* distribution¹), adopting the calibration database (20171204). Both Focal Plane Modules A and B (*FPMA/B*) on board *NuSTAR* were analysed. We obtained the light curves and the spectra for both modules using the standard tool *nuproducts*. To extract the source counts, we used a circular region with a radius of 30 arcsec while, using a circle of the same radius, we extracted the background from a blank area close to the source.

We have binned the *NuSTAR* spectra in order to have a signal-to-noise (S/N) ratio greater than three in each spectral channel and not to over-sample the instrumental resolution by a factor larger than 2.5. The obtained spectra of module A and B are in agreement with each other, their cross-normalisation being within $\leq 3\%$ in all the performed fits. The spectra were analysed taking advantage of the standard software *Xspec* 12.9.1p ([Arnaud 1996](#)).

In this paper, all errors in text and tables are quoted at 90% confidence level, unless otherwise stated, and plots are in the source reference frame.

¹ *NuSTARDAS* software guide, [Perri et al. \(2013\)](#), https://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/docs/nustar/analysis/nustar_swguide.pdf

Table 1. Observation ID, start date and net exposure time in kiloseconds for the *NuSTAR* serendipitous observations analysed in this paper.

Obs.	Obs. ID	Net rates (10 ⁻²) cts s ⁻¹	Net exp. ks	Start-date
1	30001061002	4.0	74	2014-12-29
2	30001061004	4.9	64	2014-12-31
3	30001061006	4.2	63	2015-01-02
4	60160197002	6.9	20	2015-12-09

Notes. The rates account for the average of modules *FPMA* and *FPMB*.

3. Temporal analysis

X-ray flux variations are a hallmark of AGN activity and they are commonly observed on timescales from years and decades (e.g. [Vagnetti et al. 2011, 2016](#); [Middei et al. 2017](#); [Zheng et al. 2017](#)) down to hours, (e.g. [Ponti et al. 2012](#)). Adopting the *nuproducts* standard routine, we computed light curves in the 3–10 and 10–79 keV bands for HE 0436-4717 (see Fig. 1). Intra-observation variability is found in the 3–10 keV light curves already at kiloseconds timescales (up to a factor of approximately 2 in observation 2), while, smaller flux variations appear in the 10–79 keV band (consistent with variability found extracting light curves in the 10–24 keV band). The ratios of the light curves in the 10–79 keV band and those in the 3–10 keV band are found to be compatible with being constant. Between the different pointings, the mean counts for each of the four observations (solid line in Fig. 1) is found to be modestly variable. The most relevant increase of the counts ($\sim 40\%$) is observed in observation four, while in the first three observations the mean of the counts has a variation of the order of 15%. Therefore, since no strong spectral variability is found even where modest flux variations are observed, we use the average spectra of each observation to improve the spectral fitting statistics.

The normalised excess variance σ_{nxS}^2 (e.g. [Nandra et al. 1997a](#); [Turner et al. 1999](#); [Vaughan et al. 2003](#); [Ponti et al. 2012](#)) provides a quantitative estimate of the AGN X-ray variability. This estimator can be defined as $\sigma_{\text{nxS}}^2 = (S^2 - \sigma_{\text{noise}}^2) / \langle f \rangle^2$, where f is the unweighted arithmetic mean flux for all the N observations, S represents the variance of the flux as observed, while the mean square uncertainties of the fluxes are accounted for by σ_{noise}^2 .

Following this formula and computing the associated error to σ_{nxS}^2 using Eq. (A.1) by [Ponti et al. \(2012\)](#), we computed the σ_{nxS}^2 in the 3–10 keV for all the observations in 10 ks time bins, obtaining an upper limit of $\sigma_{\text{nxS}}^2 < 0.05$. Short-term variability has been found to be tightly correlated with the BH mass by many authors (e.g. [Nandra et al. 1997b](#); [Vaughan et al. 2003](#); [McHardy et al. 2006](#); [Ponti et al. 2012](#)); therefore, adopting the relation in [Ponti et al. \(2012\)](#) for the σ_{nxS}^2 and M_{BH} (see Table 2), we estimated a lower limit for the BH mass $M_{\text{BH}} > 3 \times 10^6 M_\odot$, in agreement with the single-epoch measurement by [Grupe et al. \(2010\)](#).

4. Spectral analysis

4.1. Phenomenological modelling

As a first step, we try to reproduce the continuum emission of HE 0436-4717 with a power law absorbed by the Galactic hydrogen column ($N_{\text{H}} = 1 \times 10^{20}$ cm $^{-2}$, [Kalberla et al. 2005](#)). In the fit, the photon index and the normalisation are free to vary between




Fig. 1. *Top and middle panels:* coadded *FPMA* and *FPMB* light curves shown in the 3–10 keV and 10–79 keV energy bands, respectively. For the various pointings, the ratios between the 10–79 keV light curves and those computed in the 3–10 keV band are shown. The adopted time binning is 4 ks for all the observations. Solid green lines account for the average count rates within each pointing. The exposures in the graph are twice as long as those reported in Table 1 because half of the *NuSTAR* time is cut due to Earth occultations.




Fig. 2. Comparison of the data (black) and power law model (red). An excess of photons is observed between 6.4 and 7 keV suggesting the presence of emission features ascribable to neutral and/or possibly ionized iron. Also a bump of unmodelled photons is found above ~ 10 keV. For plotting purposes the *FPMA* and *FPMB* spectra of all the epochs and their residuals in terms of errors with respect to the model are displayed grouped (*setplot group* in *Xspec*).

the various pointings. To account for the intercalibration of modules A and B, we use a constant set to unity for *FPMA* and free to vary for *FPMB*. The two modules are found to be in good agreement ($\leq 3\%$). This simple model leads to a good fit ($\chi^2 = 460$ for 452 d.o.f.) but some residuals around 6.4 keV and at energies greater than ~ 30 keV are still present, suggesting the existence of reprocessed components (see Fig. 2). Therefore, we added a Gaussian component to account for the residuals between 6–

7 keV (see Fig. 2), obtaining the following model: $const. \times phabs \times (po + zgauss)$. The power law shapes the primary continuum, while the *zgauss* accounts for the presence of neutral or ionised emission lines. The photon index and the normalisation between the different observations are untied and free to vary. For the Gaussian component, we let free to vary and untied among the pointings its energy, intrinsic width (σ), and normalisation. The energy of the Gaussian component is not well constrained since it is 6.50 ± 0.15 keV. Therefore in the subsequent modelling we fix it at 6.4 keV, as for the neutral Fe $K\alpha$. In a similar fashion, the line width is found consistent with being zero in all the observations with a corresponding upper limit of 400 eV. Thus, in the forthcoming, we set its value to zero. This procedure yielded a best-fit $\chi^2 = 432$ for 448 degree of freedom (d.o.f.) and the corresponding best-fit values for the parameters are reported in Table 2. When we fit all the observations together, letting free to vary only the line normalisation, a $\Delta\chi^2 = 23$ for 4 d.o.f. less is found. The presence of this component is then supported by the *F*-test² according to which its significance is $>99.9\%$. The emission line is formally detected in three out of four observations, but its flux is consistent with being constant between all the pointings. The average Fe $K\alpha$ flux is $5.5 \pm 3.8 \times 10^{-6}$ ph cm $^{-2}$ s $^{-1}$, with a corresponding equivalent width of 100 ± 10 eV. We also tested for the presence of a broad component of the line in our spectra. However, this additional broad feature is not required in terms of statistics, with a negligible $\Delta\chi^2$ improvement, and its normalisation is consistent with being zero in all the observations.

The primary photon index is found to be constant among the different pointings, while weak variability is observed in

² To reliably assess the Fe $K\alpha$ significance via the *F*-test, we allowed its normalisation to be negative and positive, as discussed by Protassov et al. (2002).

Table 2. Best-fit values of the parameters for all the models tested in this 3-79 keV band analysis.

Model: <i>POWER LAW</i> $\chi^2 = 432$ for 448 d.o.f.	Obs	Γ	$N_{\text{po}} (10^{-3})$ ph keV $^{-1}$ cm $^{-2}$ s $^{-1}$	$N_{\text{Fe K}\alpha} (10^{-6})$ ph cm $^{-2}$ s $^{-1}$	EW eV	$\text{Flux}_{3-10} (10^{-12})$ erg cm $^{-2}$ s $^{-1}$	
	1	1.84 ± 0.05	1.1 ± 0.1	3.1 ± 2.5	70^{+80}_{-60}	$2.9^{+1.1}_{-1.0}$	
	2	1.84 ± 0.04	1.3 ± 0.1	6.2 ± 3.0	130^{+70}_{-90}	$3.0^{+0.9}_{-1.4}$	
	3	1.84 ± 0.05	1.1 ± 0.1	<4.1	<130	$2.5^{+1.0}_{-1.5}$	
	4	1.87 ± 0.07	1.6 ± 0.2	7.2 ± 5.1	110^{+120}_{-110}	$3.9^{+1.0}_{-1.7}$	
Model: <i>PEXRAV</i> $\chi^2 = 404$ for 440 d.o.f.	Obs	Γ	E_c keV	R_{frac}	$N_{\text{pexrav}} (10^{-3})$ ph keV $^{-1}$ cm $^{-2}$ s $^{-1}$	$N_{\text{Fe K}\alpha} (10^{-6})$ ph cm $^{-2}$ s $^{-1}$	
	1	$2.07^{+0.06}_{-0.03}$	>100	$1.3^{+0.7}_{-0.7}$	$1.5^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$	2.2 ± 2.0	
	2	$1.97^{+0.08}_{-0.03}$	>140	$0.6^{+0.5}_{-0.4}$	$1.6^{+0.2}_{-0.1}$	4.7 ± 2.4	
	3	$2.08^{+0.07}_{-0.03}$	>130	$1.5^{+0.7}_{-0.8}$	$1.5^{+0.3}_{-0.2}$	<2.61	
	4	$2.02^{+0.08}_{-0.12}$	>60	<1.2	$1.9^{+0.3}_{-0.1}$	7.2 ± 4.4	
Model: <i>PEXMON</i> $\chi^2 = 407$ for 444 d.o.f. $A_{\text{Fe}} = 1.1^{+1.3}_{-0.3}$	Obs	Γ	E_c keV	R_{frac}	$N_{\text{pexmon}} (10^{-3})$ ph keV $^{-1}$ cm $^{-2}$ s $^{-1}$		
	1	$2.02^{+0.09}_{-0.09}$	>150	$0.7^{+0.4}_{-0.3}$	$1.4^{+0.2}_{-0.2}$		
	2	$2.02^{+0.08}_{-0.12}$	>105	$0.7^{+0.4}_{-0.3}$	$1.7^{+0.3}_{-0.2}$		
	3	$1.97^{+0.08}_{-0.10}$	>130	$0.4^{+0.4}_{-0.3}$	$1.4^{+0.2}_{-0.2}$		
	4	$2.08^{+0.13}_{-0.12}$	>95	$0.8^{+0.7}_{-0.5}$	$2.1^{+0.4}_{-0.4}$		
Model: <i>MYTORUS</i> $\chi^2 = 404$ for 444 d.o.f.	Obs	Γ	$N_{\text{po}} (10^{-3})$ ph keV $^{-1}$ cm $^{-2}$ s $^{-1}$	$N_{\text{myTorus}}/N_{\text{po}}$	$N_{\text{H}} (10^{24})$ cm $^{-2}$		
	1	$2.05^{+0.10}_{-0.09}$	$1.5^{+0.2}_{-0.2}$	$1.8^{+0.7}_{-0.6}$	>1.6		
	2	$1.96^{+0.06}_{-0.07}$	$1.6^{+0.2}_{-0.1}$	$1.6^{+0.6}_{-0.5}$	>2.4		
	3	$1.99^{+0.9}_{-0.9}$	$1.4^{+0.6}_{-0.4}$	$1.2^{+0.6}_{-0.5}$	>1.8		
	4	$2.08^{+0.12}_{-0.12}$	$2.1^{+0.6}_{-0.4}$	$2.3^{+1.1}_{-0.9}$	>2.6		
Model: <i>XILLVER</i> $\chi^2 = 404$ for 443 d.o.f. $A_{\text{Fe}} = 1.2^{+1.4}_{-0.4}$	Obs	Γ	E_c keV	R_{frac}	$N_{\text{xi}} (10^{-5})$ ph keV $^{-1}$ cm $^{-2}$ s $^{-1}$	kT $_e$ keV	τ_e
	1	$1.98^{+0.13}_{-0.09}$	>140	$0.6^{+0.5}_{-0.3}$	$2.3^{+0.2}_{-0.4}$	>30	<2.4
	2	$2.00^{+0.12}_{-0.09}$	>115	$0.7^{+0.4}_{-0.3}$	$2.9^{+0.2}_{-0.7}$	>30	<2.4
	3	$1.94^{+0.16}_{-0.14}$	>110	$0.4^{+0.4}_{-0.2}$	$2.5^{+0.2}_{-0.4}$	>20	<3.3
	4	$2.07^{+0.16}_{-0.16}$	>120	$0.8^{+0.8}_{-0.5}$	$3.0^{+0.4}_{-0.7}$	>30	<2.2

Notes. For each model we have accounted for the *FPMA/B* intercalibration constant and the Galactic hydrogen column.

the primary continuum normalisation. The unmodelled photons above 10 keV in Fig. 2 indicate that part of the emission of HE 0436-4717 is due to reflection of the primary continuum, thus we replace in our best-fit model the power law with *pexrav* (Magdziarz & Zdziarski 1995). In this new model ($\text{const.} \times \text{phabs} \times (\text{pexrav} + \text{zgauss})$), *pexrav* reproduces the power-law-like primary continuum with its associated reflected component, while the Gaussian line accounts for the Fe K α . In the fitting procedure the iron abundance is frozen to solar value for all the observations, while the photon index, the normalisation and the reflection fraction are free to vary between the pointings. We also let the high energy cut-off free to vary and untied among the observations. The adoption of this model yields a best-fit of $\chi^2 = 404$ for 440 d.o.f., for which we report the best-fit values in Table 2, second panel.

Allowing for a reflection hump, we find that the photon index is compatible with being constant, and its best-fit values appear steeper than those previously obtained using a simple power law. Within the errors, the reflection fraction is constant between the pointings, and the *pexrav* normalisation exhibits modest variations. For the high energy cut-off, only lower limits are found (see second panel in Table 2). We further test

the reflected Compton component using the following model: $\text{const.} \times \text{phabs} \times \text{cutoffpl} + \text{pexrav}$). The cut-off power law (*cutoffpl*) models the primary continuum, while *pexrav* shapes the reflected component only. The photon index and high energy cut-off are tied between the components and free to vary. Both the primary and reflected component normalisations are free to vary and untied. This model yields a best fit of $\chi^2 = 406$ for 447 d.o.f., and the best-fit parameters are equivalent within the errors with those in the second panel of Table 2. We therefore fit the *NuSTAR* data tying the normalisation of the reflected component between the observations. The obtained fit ($\chi^2 = 410$ for 450 d.o.f.) is statistically equivalent to the previous one, thus a constant normalisation of the reflected component is found, $N_{\text{refl}} = 1.5 \pm 0.5 \times 10^{-3}$ ph keV $^{-1}$ cm $^{-2}$ s $^{-1}$ with a corresponding constant flux $F_{20-40 \text{ keV}} = 2.6 \times 10^{-12}$ erg cm $^{-2}$ s $^{-1}$.

4.2. Physical modelling

The narrow and constant Fe K α suggests that the origin of the reprocessed emission of HE 0436-4717 is far from the central BH. However, the geometrical configuration of the reflecting material is unknown. We then tested few models to account for




Fig. 3. Best-fit model $const. \times phabs \times xillver$ to the *NuSTAR* data displayed for each observation. In the x -axis the energy is reported in keV and different colours are used to represent the *NuSTAR* module A and B spectra.

different geometries. At first we tried to reproduce the data set adopting *pexmon* (Nandra et al. 2007).

Pexmon combines *pexrav* with self-consistently generated Fe and Ni emission lines. To fit the data with *pexmon* we adopt the same procedure used for testing *pexrav*, thus we let free to vary and untied between the observations the photon index, the high energy cut-off, the reflection fraction, and the normalisation. Moreover, we fit the iron abundance A_{Fe} tying it between the pointings. The obtained best fit ($\chi^2 = 407$ for 444 d.o.f.) is statistically equivalent to the one in which *pexrav* was adopted, and the best-fit values of the parameters are compatible with each other (see Table 2, third panel). Adopting this model that self-consistently accounts for the fluorescence emission lines, we estimate the iron abundance to be $A_{\text{Fe}} = 1.1^{+1.3}_{-0.3}$.

As an additional test, we have fitted our data set adopting *MYTORUS* (Yaqoob 2012). Through this model we further test the origin of the HE 0436-4717 reprocessed emission. In fact, *MYTORUS* accounts for a narrow Fe $K\alpha$ and its accompanying reflection component by a Compton-thick toroidal material. We assumed a power-law-like illuminating continuum. Both the Γ and normalisation of the primary emission are free to vary and untied between the pointings. At first, we used the *MYTORUS* tables, accounting for the emission lines and scattering being untied and free to vary. However, the two table normalisations were consistent with each other. Thus we kept them tied together accordingly with the coupled reprocessor solution (Yaqoob 2012). Then, for each observation, we tied the underlying continuum Γ with the one characterising the reflected emission. Finally, we performed the fit, tying the normalisations of the primary continuum and reprocessed component and adding a further constant to account for their mutual weights. This procedure leads to a best fit characterized by $\chi^2 = 404$ for 444 d.o.f., and in the fourth panel of Table 2 we report the corresponding best-fit values. We notice that the constant accounting for the relative normalisations of the primary emission and reflected one

($N_{\text{myTorus}}/N_{\text{po}}$) has fairly high values. These may suggest a larger covering factor with respect to the default one in *MYTORUS*. However, the interpretation of this constant is not trivial since it embodies different degenerate information about the chemical abundances and the covering factor itself (see Yaqoob 2012). Moreover, from the fit, only lower limits are obtained for the column density of the reflectors ($1.6\text{--}2.4 \times 10^{24} \text{ cm}^{-2}$).

Finally we tested *Xillver* (García & Kallman 2010; García et al. 2013), a model that reproduces the primary continuum and reflection off an accretion disc. *Xillver* assumes a cut-off power law for the primary emission, and it is commonly used to model reflection from distant material (e.g. Parker et al. 2016). We performed the fit letting free to vary the photon index, the reflection fraction, the normalisation, and the high energy cut-off for each observation. The iron abundance was free to vary but tied between the observations. At first we also fitted the ionisation parameter ξ , but since no improvements were found during the fitting procedure, we fixed its value to the lowest allowed by the model ($\log \xi = 0$), close to neutral matter. The obtained best-fit parameters can be found in the fifth panel of Table 2, while the best-fit model for all the observations is shown in Fig. 3.

As found when adopting *pexmon*, the photon index is compatible with being constant, and, similarly, the iron abundance is found to be $A_{\text{Fe}} = 1.2^{+1.4}_{-0.4}$. Besides a marginally variable underlying continuum, most of the components have a constant behaviour, thus we try to fit the data tying the photon index and the high energy cut-off between the various pointings. The reflection fraction and normalisation of the primary continuum are untied and free to vary between the observations. Following this procedure we obtained a best fit statistically equivalent with the one just discussed ($\chi^2 = 406$ for 449 d.o.f. versus $\chi^2 = 404$ for 443 d.o.f.). The best-fit value for the photon index is $\Gamma = 2.01 \pm 0.08$, while the obtained lower limit for the high energy cut-off is $E_{\text{cut}} > 280 \text{ keV}$. We therefore tried to better




Fig. 4. The 68%, 90% and 99% contours in black, red, green respectively computed adopting *const. × phabs × xillver*. *Top panel:* photon index and reflection fraction. *Bottom panel:* contours for the photon index and the high energy cut-off.

constrain the reflection fraction R , letting its value free to vary but tied between the observations. This procedure does not change the quality of the fit, but allows us to estimate the averaged value of the reflection fraction to be $R = 0.7^{+0.2}_{-0.3}$. We then compute the contour plots for the parameters that are shown in Fig. 4.

Comptonization is widely accepted to be the origin of the X-ray emission in AGN, therefore, substituting *xillver* with *xillvercp* (García & Kallman 2010; García et al. 2013), we tried to investigate the physical properties of the HE0436-4717 hot corona. This model differs from *xillver* because the primary continuum is shaped by thermal Comptonization through the *nthcomp* model (Zdziarski et al. 1996). We perform the fit, tying the parameters between the observations, and we let free to vary only the temperature of the hot electrons. In terms of statistics, this fit ($\chi^2 =$ for 405 d.o.f. 443) is compatible with the previous one in which *xillver* was adopted. For the sake of simplicity, in the panel referring to *xillver* in Table 2 we only report the obtained values for the hot electron temperature kT_e , as the other parameters are compatible with those already obtained using *xillver* within error bars. Furthermore, assuming a spherical geometry and using the *nthcomp* internal routine for the Thomson optical depth τ_e , we obtained upper limits for the coronal optical depth of

He 0437-4717. These upper limits are reported in the fifth panel of Table 2. Moreover, we fit again the data tying the kT_e between the observations ($\chi^2 =$ for 408 d.o.f. 452). This procedure leads to a lower limit for the corona temperature: $kT_e > 65$ keV. Again, under the assumption of a spherical Comptonizing medium, we computed a corresponding optical depth $\tau_e < 1.3$. Even though the analysed *NuSTAR* data did not require any relativistic component, this feature seems to be needed by the *XMM-Newton* data. Thus we have tested for the presence of a broader and relativistic component adding *relxill* to our best-fit model. Since the parameters are not well constrained, we set *relxill* according to the values reported by Bonson et al. (2015): $\Gamma = 2.14$, $R_{in} = 1.8 r_g$, $A_{Fe} = 0.36$, inclination $\theta = 43^\circ$. However, adding *relxill* yields a fit of $\chi^2 = 406$ for 445 d.o.f., thus, on a statistical basis, these *NuSTAR* data do not require a relativistic component.

5. Discussion

The *NuSTAR* high sensitivity above 10 keV makes it suitable for investigating the physical conditions of AGN coronae. However, the lack of sufficient statistics limits comparative studies concerning the AGN coronal region. In fact, at present, the largest sample of these sources analysed taking advantage of *NuSTAR* data counts a few AGN only (<20; e.g. Fabian et al. 2017; Tortosa et al. 2018). In this framework, the analysis of these multi-epoch *NuSTAR* observations adds information about the coronal parameter of this particular Seyfert galaxy, enlarging at the same time the number of AGN analysed thanks to *NuSTAR*.

The 3–79 keV HE 0436-4717 *NuSTAR* spectra are found to be consistent with being the superposition of two spectral components, a persistent and weakly variable primary emission and a narrow iron $K\alpha$ with its associated distant reflection continuum. The primary continuum can be described by a power law with photon index $\Gamma = 2.01 \pm 0.08$ and a lower limit $E_c > 280$ keV for the cut-off energy. Moreover, a neutral Fe $K\alpha$ emission line is present in three out of observations and it is found to be narrow. The reflected component is found to be compatible with being constant in flux, and the high energy emission of HE 0436-4717 is in agreement with a scenario in which this reprocessed emission arises from neutral material far from the central engine. Therefore, we have tested a few models that account for different geometrical scenarios. Disc reflection provides a good fit to the data, and, similarly, reprocessed emission by toroidal matter with $N_H \geq 2 \times 10^{24} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ is statistically supported.

The *NuSTAR* data analysed in this work do not require a broad line, consistent with Wang et al. (1998) who found the line to be narrow and likely due to distant reflection. However, *XMM-Newton* data requires a broad component (Bonson et al. 2015). This discrepancy can be ascribed to the poorer spectral resolution of *NuSTAR* with respect to *XMM-Newton*, since part of the broad component flux might be absorbed by the narrower feature. Moreover, we are further limited in testing a complete blurred reflection scenario because any soft excess would occur outside the *NuSTAR* operating band. Adopting different models, the source iron abundance is found within the errors compatible with being solar ($A_{Fe} = 1.2^{+1.4}_{-0.4}$). HE 0436-4717 shows modest flux variations within each observation while the average of the counts exhibits a more constant behaviour between the *NuSTAR* pointings. The largest flux variations are measured on timescales shorter than a day. Past flux measurements in the 2–10 keV band performed using *ASCA* and *XMM-Newton* data (Wang et al. 1998; Bonson et al. 2015, respectively) are compatible ($3.3\text{--}4.6 \times 10^{-12} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$) with the flux observed during

the *NuSTAR* pointings. We estimated the 2–10 keV luminosity to be $L_{2-10\text{keV}} = 3 \pm 0.5 \times 10^{43} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$. Adopting the proper bolometric correction from Marconi et al. (2004), we found the bolometric luminosity of HE 0436-4717 to be $L_{\text{bol}} = 7 \times 10^{44} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$. Considering a $M_{\text{BH}} = 5.9 \times 10^7 M_{\odot}$ (Grupe et al. 2010), HE 0436-4717 has an Eddington ratio of $L/L_{\text{Edd}} \sim 0.09$.

This spectral analysis reveals that HE 0436-4717 did not experience any spectral variation, and this is compatible with what was found in previous works. In fact, Wang et al. (1998) measured a photon index of $\Gamma = 2.15 \pm 0.04$, while Bonson et al. (2015) obtained $\Gamma = 2.12 \pm 0.02$. Our *NuSTAR* measurements are compatible with previous estimates, thus no evidence for long-term spectral variability is found.

The adoption of realistic models including Comptonization allowed us to study the coronal physics of different AGN (e.g. Petrucci et al. 2013; Middei et al. 2018; Ursini et al. 2018), thus we included in our analysis *nthcomp* to investigate the coronal properties of HE 0436-4717. Accounting for Comptonization and tying the electrons temperature between the various pointings, a lower limit for the coronal temperature is found: $kT_e > 65 \text{ keV}$. From this value, we derived an upper limit for the electron optical depth of $\tau_e < 1.3$. Our values for the τ_e and kT_e are in agreement with what is expected for an optically thin and hot medium responsible for the AGN hard X-ray emission. Tortosa et al. (2018) present results on the hot corona parameters of 19 AGN measured with *NuSTAR* and, in particular, the authors discuss various relations between phenomenological parameters and physical ones. The HE 0436-4717 τ_e and kT_e values are in perfect agreement with the strong anti-correlation they found for the optical depth and the coronal temperature of the 19 Seyfert galaxies.

Moreover, the estimated temperature kT_e can be used to investigate how HE 0436-4717 behaves on the compactness-temperature diagram (Fabian et al. 2015, 2017, and references therein). These two parameters are defined as: $\Theta_e = kT_e/m_e c^2$ and $l = \frac{L}{R} \times \sigma_T/m_e c^3$. The first equation accounts for the coronal electron temperature normalised by the rest-frame energy of the electrons, while the second one is used to define the dimensionless compactness parameter (Fabian et al. 2015). In this latter formula, L is the luminosity and R is the radius of coronal (assumed to be spherical). For HE 0436-4717, $\Theta_e > 0.13$ is obtained. Following Fabian et al. (2015), we computed the luminosity extrapolating its value to the 0.1–200 keV band, $L = 1.4 \times 10^{44}$. Since R is not measured, we assume a value of $10 r_g$. We then compute the compactness of HE 0436-4717 to be $l = 230 (R_{10})^{-1}$, where R_{10} is just the ratio between the radius and $10 r_g$. In the $\Theta_e - l$ diagram by Fabian et al. (2015), HE 0436-4717 lies, as does the bulk of the sample analysed by the authors, below the forbidden runaway pair production line. This supports the theory that AGN coronae are hot and radiatively compact. The flux of the reflected component is found to be constant between the observations, and a corresponding averaged reflection fraction $R = 0.7^{+0.2}_{-0.3}$ is obtained. This latter value is compatible with the bulk of the measurements commonly found for Seyfert galaxies (e.g. Perola et al. 2002; Ricci et al. 2017).

6. Summary

This paper focuses on the spectral properties of the Seyfert galaxy HE 0436-4717, and it is based on the analysis of four serendipitous *NuSTAR* observations performed from December 2014 to December 2015. The main results of this analysis are:

- Modest flux variability is observed within the various *NuSTAR* observations on timescales of a few kilo seconds.

The average count rate of each epoch in the 3–10 and 10–79 keV bands is only weakly variable. Moreover, we have quantified the source variability, computing the normalised excess variance for this source. We obtained an upper limit for this estimator, $\sigma_{\text{NXS}} < 0.05$. We then converted this value into a lower limit for the BH mass obtaining $M_{\text{BH}} > 3 \times 10^6 M_{\odot}$, in agreement with the single epoch measure by Grupe et al. (2010).

- A power-law-like spectrum with a corresponding $\Gamma = 2.01 \pm 0.08$ is found to phenomenologically describe the high energy emission of HE 0436-4717. Between the different observations, the photon index is consistent with being constant, and a lower limit $E_{\text{cut-off}} > 280 \text{ keV}$ is obtained. We tested a few Comptonization models, obtaining a lower limit of $kT_e > 65 \text{ keV}$ for the hot corona temperature. This temperature allowed us to estimate the optical depth for the HE 0436-4717 hot corona $\tau_e < 1.3$.
- A narrow and constant Fe $K\alpha$ emission line is observed, while a broader component is not required by these data. Both the line and the associated Compton reflection component are in agreement with a scenario in which they arise from Compton-thick matter located far away from the central BH.

Acknowledgements. We are grateful to the referee whose comments improved the quality of this work. This work is based on observations obtained with: the *NuSTAR* mission, a project led by the California Institute of Technology, managed by the Jet Propulsion Laboratory and funded by NASA; *XMM-Newton*, an ESA science mission with instruments and contributions directly funded by ESA Member States and the USA (NASA). This research has made use of data, software and/or web tools obtained from NASA’s High Energy Astrophysics Science Archive Research Center (HEASARC), a service of Goddard Space Flight Center and the Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory. Part of this work is based on archival data, software or online services provided by the Space Science Data Center – ASI. SB, RM and FV acknowledge financial support from ASI under grants ASI-INAF I/037/12/0 and n. 2017-14-H.O. F.T. acknowledges support by the Programma per Giovani Ricercatori – anno 2014 “Rita Levi Montalcini”. AM acknowledges financial support from the Italian Space Agency under grant ASI-INAF I/037/12/0-011/13.

References

- Arnaud, K. A. 1996, in *Astronomical Data Analysis Software and Systems V*, eds. G. H. Jacoby, & J. Barnes, *ASP Conf. Ser.*, 101, 17
- Barstow, M. A., & Holberg, J. B. 2003, *Extreme Ultraviolet Astronomy*, 408
- Bianchi, S., Guainazzi, M., Matt, G., & Fonseca Bonilla, N. 2007, *A&A*, 467, L19
- Bianchi, S., Guainazzi, M., Matt, G., Fonseca Bonilla, N., & Ponti, G. 2009, *A&A*, 495, 421
- Bonson, K., Gallo, L. C., & Vasudevan, R. 2015, *MNRAS*, 450, 857
- Cappi, M., Panessa, F., Bassani, L., et al. 2006, *A&A*, 446, 459
- De Rosa, A., Fabian, A. C., & Piro, L. 2002, *MNRAS*, 334, L21
- Fabian, A. C., Rees, M. J., Stella, L., & White, N. E. 1989, *MNRAS*, 238, 729
- Fabian, A. C., Lohfink, A., Kara, E., et al. 2015, *MNRAS*, 451, 4375
- Fabian, A. C., Lohfink, A., Belmont, R., Malzac, J., & Coppi, P. 2017, *MNRAS*, 467, 2566
- García, J., & Kallman, T. R. 2010, *ApJ*, 718, 695
- García, J., Dauser, T., Reynolds, C. S., et al. 2013, *ApJ*, 768, 146
- George, I. M., & Fabian, A. C. 1991, *MNRAS*, 249, 352
- Grupe, D., Komossa, S., Leighly, K. M., & Page, K. L. 2010, *ApJS*, 187, 64
- Guillot, S., Kaspi, V. M., Archibald, R. F., et al. 2016, *MNRAS*, 463, 2612
- Haardt, F., & Maraschi, L. 1991, *ApJ*, 380, L51
- Haardt, F., & Maraschi, L. 1993, *ApJ*, 413, 507
- Haardt, F., Maraschi, L., & Ghisellini, G. 1994, *ApJ*, 432, L95
- Halpern, J. P., & Marshall, H. L. 1996, *ApJ*, 464, 760
- Halpern, J. P., Leighly, K. M., & Marshall, H. L. 2003, *ApJ*, 585, 665
- Harrison, F. A., Craig, W. W., Christensen, F. E., et al. 2013, *ApJ*, 770, 103
- Kalberla, P. M. W., Burton, W. B., Hartmann, D., et al. 2005, *A&A*, 440, 775
- Lansbury, G. B., Alexander, D. M., Aird, J., et al. 2017, *ApJ*, 846, 20
- Leighly, K. M. 2005, *Ap&SS*, 300, 137

- Magdziarz, P., & Zdziarski, A. A. 1995, *MNRAS*, **273**, 837
- Marconi, A., Risaliti, G., Gilli, R., et al. 2004, *MNRAS*, **351**, 169
- Matt, G., Perola, G. C., & Piro, L. 1991, *A&A*, **247**, 25
- McHardy, I. M., Koerding, E., Knigge, C., Uttley, P., & Fender, R. P. 2006, *Nature*, **444**, 730
- Middei, R., Vagnetti, F., Bianchi, S., et al. 2017, *A&A*, **599**, A82
- Middei, R., Bianchi, S., Cappi, M., et al. 2018, *A&A*, **615**, A163
- Nandra, K., George, I. M., Mushotzky, R. F., Turner, T. J., & Yaqoob, T. 1997a, *ApJ*, **476**, 70
- Nandra, K., George, I. M., Mushotzky, R. F., Turner, T. J., & Yaqoob, T. 1997b, *ApJ*, **477**, 602
- Nandra, K., O'Neill, P. M., George, I. M., & Reeves, J. N. 2007, *MNRAS*, **382**, 194
- Nicastro, F., Piro, L., De Rosa, A., et al. 2000, *ApJ*, **536**, 718
- Parker, M. L., Komossa, S., Kollatschny, W., et al. 2016, *MNRAS*, **461**, 1927
- Perola, G. C., Matt, G., Fiore, F., et al. 2000, *A&A*, **358**, 117
- Perola, G. C., Matt, G., Cappi, M., et al. 2002, *A&A*, **389**, 802
- Perri, M., Puccetti, S., Spagnuolo, N., et al. 2013, *The NuSTAR Data Analysis Software Guide*, https://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/docs/nustar/analysis/nustar_swguide.pdf
- Petrucci, P.-O., Paltani, S., Malzac, J., et al. 2013, *A&A*, **549**, A73
- Ponti, G., Papadakis, I., Bianchi, S., et al. 2012, *A&A*, **542**, A83
- Protassov, R., van Dyk, D. A., Connors, A., Kashyap, V. L., & Siemiginowska, A. 2002, *ApJ*, **571**, 545
- Reynolds, C. S. 2013, *Classical Quantum Gravity*, **30**, 244004
- Ricci, C., Trakhtenbrot, B., Koss, M. J., et al. 2017, *ApJS*, **233**, 17
- Rybicki, G. B., & Lightman, A. P. 1979, *Radiative Processes in Astrophysics* (New York: Wiley-Interscience)
- Tortosa, A., Bianchi, S., Marinucci, A., Matt, G., & Petrucci, P. O. 2018, *A&A*, **614**, A37
- Turner, T. J., George, I. M., Nandra, K., & Turcan, D. 1999, *ApJ*, **524**, 667
- Ursini, F., Petrucci, P. O., Matt, G., et al. 2018, *MNRAS*, **478**, 2663
- Vagnetti, F., Turriziani, S., & Trevese, D. 2011, *A&A*, **536**, A84
- Vagnetti, F., Middei, R., Antonucci, M., Paolillo, M., & Serafinelli, R. 2016, *A&A*, **593**, A55
- Vaughan, S., Edelson, R., Warwick, R. S., & Uttley, P. 2003, *MNRAS*, **345**, 1271
- Véron-Cetty, M.-P., & Véron, P. 2006, *A&A*, **455**, 773
- Wang, T., Otani, C., Matsuoka, M., et al. 1998, *MNRAS*, **293**, 397
- Wisotzki, L., Christlieb, N., Bade, N., et al. 2000, *A&A*, **358**, 77
- Yaqoob, T. 2012, *MNRAS*, **423**, 3360
- Zdziarski, A. A., Johnson, W. N., & Magdziarz, P. 1996, *MNRAS*, **283**, 193
- Zheng, X. C., Xue, Y. Q., Brandt, W. N., et al. 2017, *ApJ*, **849**, 127