

# Persistent nuclear burning in Nova Sgr 2016 N.4 (= V5856 Sgr = ASASSN-16ma) six years past its outburst<sup>★</sup>

U. Munari<sup>1</sup>, N. Masetti<sup>2,3</sup>, F. M. Walter<sup>4</sup>, R. E. Williams<sup>5</sup>, F.-J. Hambsch<sup>6</sup>, A. Frigo<sup>6</sup>, and P. Valisa<sup>6</sup>

<sup>1</sup> INAF-Osservatorio Astronomico di Padova, 36012 Asiago (VI), Italy,  
e-mail: [ulisse.munari@inaf.it](mailto:ulisse.munari@inaf.it)

<sup>2</sup> INAF-Osservatorio di Astrofisica e Scienza dello Spazio, via Gobetti 101, 40129 Bologna, Italy

<sup>3</sup> Departamento de Ciencias Físicas, Universidad Andrés Bello, Fernández Concha 700, Las Condes, Santiago, Chile

<sup>4</sup> Department of Physics and Astronomy, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, NY 11794-3800, USA

<sup>5</sup> Space Telescope Science Institute, 3700 San Martin Drive, Baltimore, MD 21218, USA

<sup>6</sup> ANS Collaboration, c/o Astronomical Observatory, 36012 Asiago (VI), Italy

Received September 15, 1996; accepted March 16, 1997

## ABSTRACT

We report on the fast Nova Sgr 2016 N.4 being surprisingly trapped in a long-lasting and bright plateau ( $\Delta I \geq 10$  mag above quiescence) six years past the nova eruption. Very few other novae experience a similar occurrence. We carried out an intensive observing campaign collecting daily *BVR*I photometry and monthly high-resolution optical spectroscopy, and observed the nova in ultraviolet and X-rays with *Swift* at five distinct epochs. The bolometric luminosity radiated during the plateau is  $\sim 4200 L_{\odot}$  (scaled to the distance of the Galactic Bulge), corresponding to stable nuclear burning on a  $0.6 M_{\odot}$  white dwarf. A stable wind is blown off at full width at zero intensity (FWZI)  $\sim 1600$  km/s, with episodic reinforcement of a faster FWZI  $\sim 3400$  km/s mass loss, probably oriented along the polar directions. The collision of these winds could power the emission detected in X-rays. The burning shell has an outer radius of  $\sim 25 R_{\odot}$  at which the effective temperature is  $\sim 7600$  K, values similar to those of a F0 II/Ib bright giant. The  $\Delta m < 1$  mag variability displayed during the plateau is best described as chaotic, with the irregular appearance of quasi-periodic oscillations with a periodicity of 15–17 days. A limited amount of dust ( $\approx 3 \times 10^{-11} M_{\odot}$ ) continuously condenses at  $T_{dust} \sim 1200$  K in the outflowing wind, radiating  $L_{dust} \sim 52 L_{\odot}$ .

**Key words.** Stars: novae, cataclysmic variables — Stars: winds, outflows

## 1. Introduction

ASASSN-16ma was discovered on 2016 October 25.02 UT by the All Sky Automated Survey for Supernovae as a  $V \sim 13.7$  mag transient (Stanek et al. 2016). It was soon classified by Luckas (2016) as a FeII-type nova, with rather narrow emission lines, unresolved with a full width at half maximum (FWHM) equal to the instrumental PSF, and noted for the absence of P Cyg absorptions to Balmer lines. A month later, on 2016 November 23.1 UT, Rudy et al. (2016) obtained an optical–near-IR spectrum of ASASSN-16ma that confirmed the FeII classification and the general absence of P Cyg absorptions and remarked on the prevailing low expansion velocities (FWHM  $\sim 1400$  km s<sup>-1</sup>) and the presence of only low-excitation emission lines (no HeI visible). Nakano et al. (2017) reported ASASSN-16ma to be the fourth nova in Sagittarius for the year 2016 and assigned it the variable star name V5856 Sgr, which we adopt in the rest of this paper.

Two weeks past discovery,  $\gamma$ -ray emission from the nova was observed by Fermi-LAT (Li et al. 2016a): undetected until 2016 November 8, V5856 Sgr suddenly turned into a strong  $\gamma$ -ray source, remaining active (although declining) for the following nine days (Li et al. 2016b). In a reanalysis of these Fermi-LAT data, Li (2022) notes the probable presence at  $4\sigma$  significance of a 545 sec periodicity.

In a comparative analysis of nova optical light curves, Munari et al. (2017) discuss how a second component appears to develop in parallel with the detection of  $\gamma$  rays, and note how in V5856 Sgr such a second component outshined by  $\sim 2$  mag the main component associated with the normal expanding ejecta. The presence of an additional component in the optical light curve of V5856 Sgr related to the emergence of  $\gamma$  rays was also noted by Li et al. (2017).

At radio wavelengths the energetic events leading to  $\gamma$ -ray emission did not reverberate much. The radio observations of V5856 Sgr summarized by Chomiuk et al. (2021) show only standard thermal emission associated with the expanding ejecta, peaking approximately three years past the optical maximum, as is typical of many normal novae (Hjellming et al. 1979). None of the features usually associated with shocks and consequent synchrotron emission are visible in the radio data of V5856 Sgr, which is characterized by no early peak and a low brightness temperature, actually one of the lowest on record. In this regard it is worth noting that the radio monitoring of V5856 Sgr started early, when Fermi-LAT was still recording strong  $\gamma$ -ray emission, but the nova remained below the radio detection threshold for the first three months. Chomiuk et al. (2021) remark on how V5856 Sgr appears underluminous at radio wavelengths for the 2.5 kpc distance they adopt. The discrepancy with the other radio novae is lifted, however, if the larger 6.4–7.0 kpc distance derived by Munari et al. (2017) is adopted instead.

<sup>★</sup> Table 1 is only available in electronic form at the CDS via anonymous ftp to [cdsarc.u-strasbg.fr](ftp://cdsarc.u-strasbg.fr) (130.79.128.5) or via <http://cdsweb.u-strasbg.fr/cgi-bin/qcat?J/A+A/>

**Table 1.** BVRI photometry of V5856 Sgr in 2021 and 2022. The long table is available in its entirety in electronic form only; a small fraction is shown here for guidance on its format and content.

HJD (-2459000)	Date (UT)	V	B - V	V - R	R - I
684.901	2022-04-15.401	12.481 ±0.009	0.536 ±0.007	0.408 ±0.009	0.243 ±0.020
685.901	2022-04-16.401	12.457 ±0.009	0.570 ±0.010	0.445 ±0.009	0.235 ±0.022
686.902	2022-04-17.402	12.483 ±0.009	0.524 ±0.009	0.436 ±0.009	0.255 ±0.024
687.902	2022-04-18.402	12.481 ±0.008	0.525 ±0.008	0.423 ±0.011	0.249 ±0.022

**Table 2.** Log of the spectroscopic observations of V5856 Sgr.

Date	UT	expt (sec)	airmass	spectr.	telescope
2021-06-29	07:40	1200	1.31	CHIRON	SMARTS 1.55m
2021-07-10	22:24	1200	3.65	B&C	Asiago 1.22m
2021-07-18	21:58	3600	3.68	Echelle	Varese 0.84m
2021-07-19	21:38	3600	3.69	Echelle	Varese 0.84m
2021-07-30	04:54	1000	1.15	CHIRON	SMARTS 1.55m
2021-08-08	21:08	2700	3.77	Echelle	Varese 0.84m
2021-08-09	20:35	1800	3.67	B&C	Asiago 1.22m
2021-08-09	21:07	3600	3.79	Echelle	Varese 0.84m
2021-08-10	20:32	3600	3.68	Echelle	Varese 0.84m
2021-08-23	03:29	1200	1.22	CHIRON	SMARTS 1.55m
2021-08-24	20:41	4500	4.04	Echelle	Varese 0.84m
2022-03-16	09:37	2000	1.10	CHIRON	SMARTS 1.55m
2022-04-02	08:25	1000	1.11	CHIRON	SMARTS 1.55m
2022-04-25	06:24	1500	1.20	CHIRON	SMARTS 1.55m
2022-05-15	06:03	1500	1.08	CHIRON	SMARTS 1.55m
2022-06-08	03:16	3000	1.04	CHIRON	SMARTS 1.55m

The Swift satellite looked for X-ray emission from V5856 Sgr during the main outburst, but none was observed. Of the 13 novae emitting in  $\gamma$  rays and studied by Gordon et al. (2021), only two were not detected as X-ray sources with Swift, namely V1324 Sco and V5856 Sgr. The excessive distance (6.5 kpc) was blamed for the nondetection of V1324 Sco, but the 2.5 kpc distance adopted by Gordon et al. (2021) for V5856 Sgr made its nondetection puzzling. As for the radio luminosity above, also in this case the 6.4–7.0 kpc distance derived by Munari et al. (2017) would justify the nondetection in X-rays. V5856 Sgr is not included in the latest Gaia DR3 data release because it is based on observations collected by the spacecraft prior to 28 May 2017, which is only a few months past the eruption and is too early for any astrometric characterization.

In this paper we focus on the recent and unexpected behavior displayed by V5856 Sgr, after we noted (Munari et al. 2021a,b) that six years past its outburst the nova remains halfway to quiescence (still  $\Delta I \geq 10$  mag brighter than that). We carried out daily BVRI photometry, obtained high-resolution spectroscopy at monthly cadence, and observed on multiple epochs V5856 Sgr in X-rays and ultraviolet with the *Swift* satellite. A detailed analysis of the whole body of spectroscopic data collected on V5856 Sgr during its entire evolution, including the main outburst, will be the subject of a separate paper (R. Williams et al., in prep.).

## 2. Observations

### 2.1. Optical photometry

Optical photometry of V5856 Sgr was obtained simultaneously in the BVRI bands during 108 nights in 2021 and 111 nights in 2022, with the same robotic 40cm telescope (located in San Pedro de Atacama, Chile) and the same observing procedures adopted by Munari et al. (2017) to cover the main outburst, in particular (i) the same local photometric sequence around V5856 Sgr was used to solve the color equations for each observing night and accurately place the observations on the Landolt (2009) photometric system, and (ii) photometry was carried out in PSF-fitting mode on the central server of ANS Collaboration in Asiago. The results are given in Table 1, where the quoted uncertainty is the total error budget, adding quadratically all the sources including the Poissonian noise on the variable and the error in the transformation from the local instantaneous photometric system to the Landolt equatorial standard system.

### 2.2. Optical spectroscopy

Optical spectra of V5856 Sgr were recorded from Italy and from Chile. Table 2 provides a log-book for them.

From Italy, low-resolution spectroscopy of V5856 Sgr was obtained with the Asiago 1.22m telescope equipped with a Boller and Chivens (B&C) spectrograph. The CCD camera is an ANDOR iDus DU440A with a back-illuminated E2V 42-10 sensor, 2048×512 array of 13.5  $\mu\text{m}$  pixels. The long-slit spectra were recorded with a 300 ln/mm grating blazed at 5000  $\text{\AA}$ , and covered the wavelength range from 3300 to 8000  $\text{\AA}$  at 2.31  $\text{\AA}/\text{pix}$ . The 2 arcsec slit was imaged at a FWHM(PSF)=2.5 pixel scale. Echelle spectra of V5856 Sgr were obtained with the Varese 0.84m telescope, equipped with a mark.III Multi-Mode Spectrograph from Astrolight Instruments. The camera is a SBIG ST10XME CCD and the 4250-8850  $\text{\AA}$  range is covered in 32 orders without inter-order gaps. A 2x2 binning and the slit widened to 3 arcsec reduced the resolving power to 11,000. The spectra from both Asiago and Varese were exposed with the slit rotated to the parallactic angle, and the data reduced with IRAF<sup>1</sup>. For both sites the nova culminates at just 16° above the local horizon, imposing a large airmass and thus poor seeing.

We also observed V5856 Sgr from Chile, where it transits nearly overhead, using the CHIRON (Tokovinin et al. 2013) fiber-fed bench-mounted Echelle fed by the CTIO 1.5m telescope operated by SMARTS. We used CHIRON in “fiber” mode with 4×4 on-chip binning yielding a resolution  $\lambda/\delta\lambda \approx 27,800$ .

<sup>1</sup> IRAF is distributed by the National Optical Astronomy Observatories, which are operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc., under cooperative agreement with the National Science Foundation.

**Table 3.** Log of the *Swift*/UVOT observations of V5856 Sgr. Flux densities are in units of  $10^{-15}$  erg cm $^{-2}$  s $^{-1}$  Å $^{-1}$ .

Date	start time (UT)	UVW1 [2600 Å] (mag & flux)	UVM2 [2246 Å] (mag & flux)	UVW2 [1928 Å] (mag & flux)
2021 Aug. 28	02:28	13.36±0.04	14.12±0.04	13.87±0.04
		18.0±0.7	10.5±0.3	15.1±0.5
2021 Sep. 18	06:02	13.14±0.04	13.91±0.04	13.67±0.04
		22.2±0.9	12.7±0.3	18.2±0.6
2021 Oct. 15	04:50	12.64±0.04	13.28±0.04	13.14±0.04
		35.1±1.3	22.6±0.5	29.6±0.8
2021 Nov. 05	13:52	13.00±0.04	13.58±0.04	13.43±0.04
		25.2±1.0	17.1±0.5	22.7±0.7
2022 Apr. 27	11:39	13.25±0.04	13.97±0.04	13.79±0.04
		19.9±0.07	12.0±0.3	16.3±0.6

Exposure times range from 15 to 50 minutes, typically in co-added 15-20 minute integrations. The eight spectra obtained during the 2021 and 2022 observing seasons are listed in Table 2; those obtained at earlier epochs will be discussed in R. Williams et al. (in prep.).


The data were reduced using a pipeline coded in Interactive Data Language (IDL).<sup>2</sup> The images were flat-fielded. Cosmic rays were removed using the L.A. Cosmic algorithm (van Dokkum 2001). The 74 echelle orders were extracted using a boxcar extraction, and the instrumental background computed on both sides of the spectral trace was subtracted. As CHIRON is fiber-fed there is no simple method to subtract the sky. The fibers have a diameter of 2.7 arcsec on the sky. In any event, for bright targets the night sky emission is generally negligible, apart from narrow [OI] and NaI D lines and some OH airglow lines at longer wavelengths. Wavelength calibration uses ThAr calibration lamp exposures at the start and end of the night.

The instrumental response is removed from the individual orders by dividing by the spectra of a flux-standard star,  $\mu$  Col. This provides flux-calibrated orders with a systemic uncertainty due to sky conditions. Individual orders are spliced together, resulting in a calibrated spectrum from 4083-8900 Å, with five inter-order gaps in the coverage longward of 8260 Å. Contemporaneous *BVR*I photometry from Table 1 was used to scale the spectra to approximately true fluxes.

### 2.3. *Swift* UVOT and XRT

A series of higher-energy observations of V5856 Sgr were acquired with the *Swift* satellite (Gehrels et al. 2004). The pointings were carried out in target-of-opportunity mode; these observations are generally limited to roughly 2000 s per visit, and four of them were performed on the source with nearly monthly cadence between August and November 2021. A final fifth observation was acquired in April 2022. The dates and start times are reported in Table 3.


The *Swift* observations were acquired with the onboard instruments X-Ray Telescope (XRT; Burrows et al. 2005) and UltraViolet Optical Telescope (UVOT; Roming et al. 2005). The XRT allows coverage of the X-ray band between 0.3 and 10 keV, whereas UVOT data were collected using the UV filters *UVW1*, *UVM2*, and *UVW2*, with reference wavelengths 2600 Å, 2246


**Fig. 1.** Complete optical light curve of V5856 Sgr since its nova eruption in 2016. Top panel: Infrared magnitudes from NeoWISE all-sky survey.

Å, and 1928 Å, respectively (see Poole et al. 2008; Breeveld et al. 2011, for details). On-source pointings were simultaneously performed with the two instruments and lasted between ~1000 and ~1800 s for XRT, whereas exposures between 101 and 629 s were used for the three UVOT filters, depending on the observation. All data were reduced within the FROOLS environment (Blackburn 1995).

Count rates on Level 2 (i.e., calibrated and containing as-

<sup>2</sup> [http://www.astro.sunysb.edu/fwalter/SMARTS/CHIRON/ch\\_reduce.pdf](http://www.astro.sunysb.edu/fwalter/SMARTS/CHIRON/ch_reduce.pdf)from metric information) UVOT images of V5856 Sgr were mea-




**Fig. 2.** Spectrum of V5856 Sgr obtained on 2021 August 9 with the Asiago 1.22m + B&C telescope. The strongest emission lines are identified.

sured through aperture photometry within a  $5''$  radius centered on the source position, whereas the corresponding background was evaluated for each image using a combination of several circular regions in source-free nearby areas. The UV magnitudes of V5856 Sgr were determined with the UVORSOURCE task. The data were then calibrated using the UVOT photometric system described by Poole et al. (2008); the most recent fixings (2020 November) recommended by the UVOT team were taken into account. The results of this analysis are listed in Table 3.

The XRT data analysis was performed using the XRTDAS standard pipeline package (XRTPIPELINE v. 0.13.4) in order to produce screened event files. All X-ray data were acquired in photon counting (PC) mode (Hill et al. 2004) adopting the standard grade filtering (0–12 for PC) according to the XRT nomenclature. Scientific data for V5856 Sgr were extracted from the images using a radius of  $47''$  (20 pixels) centered again at the optical coordinates of the source, while the corresponding background was evaluated in a source-free region of radius  $94''$  (40 pixels) within the same XRT acquisition. In each single case no emission was detected in the 0.3–10 keV range using the XSPEC package down to count rates between  $\sim 5 \times 10^{-3}$  and  $\sim 8 \times 10^{-3}$  counts  $s^{-1}$  ( $3\sigma$  limits). Nevertheless, by summing up the five XRT pointings, we could reach a  $5\sigma$  detection of V5856 Sgr in the 0.3–10 keV band at a rate of  $(4.7 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-3}$  counts  $s^{-1}$ , with the bulk of the emission ( $\sim 80\%$  of the counts) concentrated in the 0.3–2 keV range. Due to the low overall signal-to-noise ratio of the summed XRT observation, no further detailed spectral analysis was performed.

We then determined the corresponding X-ray flux using the WEBPIMMS online tool<sup>3</sup> by assuming a thermal bremsstrahlung emission with temperature  $kT = 1$  keV plus an intervening hydrogen column density absorption  $N_H = 1.8 \times 10^{21}$   $cm^{-2}$  (obtained adopting the interstellar reddening  $E_{B-V} = 0.32$  derived in Sect. 3 combined with the empirical formula of Predehl & Schmitt (1995)). This implies a count rate-to-flux conversion factor of  $2.4 \times 10^{-11}$  erg  $cm^{-2}$   $s^{-1}$  counts $^{-1}$ ; the count rate reported above thus corresponds to absorbed and unabsorbed fluxes of

<sup>3</sup> <https://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/cgi-bin/Tools/w3pimms/w3pimms.pl>



**Fig. 3.** Portion of 2019 light curve of V5856 Sgr from Figure 1. Top panel: Original ASASSN data in the  $g$  band. Bottom panel: Same data after detrending and with a superimposed sinusoid of 15-day period and 0.25mag amplitude.


$(1.1 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-13}$  erg  $cm^{-2}$   $s^{-1}$  and  $(1.9 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-13}$  erg  $cm^{-2}$   $s^{-1}$ , respectively, for the assumed spectral model.

### 3. Post-outburst evolution

#### 3.1. A halted decline and the 2018–2022 plateau

The overall light curve of V5856 Sgr since its outburst in late 2016 is presented in Figure 1. It was prepared with data from Munari et al. (2017) for the main 2016 outburst, from this paper for 2021 and 2022, and from ASASSN for the interval in between (Shappee et al. 2014; Kochanek et al. 2017). The quiescence level is taken from Mroz et al. (2016), who inspected the OGLE-IV deep template images and set an upper limit of  $I > 22$  mag to the brightness of the progenitor in quiescence.

After a slower-than-expected early decline in 2017, since 2018 the nova has not declined further, levelling out on a plateau, at median brightness  $I = 12.05$ , which is  $\Delta I \geq 10$  mag above the quiescence level. A halted decline is rather unusual among novae, and it is generally attributed to protracted nuclear burning on the surface of the WD, as for V723 Cas = Nova Cas 1995 (e.g., Ochner et al. 2015; Goranskij et al. 2015; Ness et al. 2015;



**Fig. 4.** Light curves and color curves of V5856 Sgr in 2021-22 from Table 1. The epochs of Swift and CHIRON observations are flagged by arrows in the bottom panels.

Hamilton-Drager et al. 2018). In their morphological grouping of nova light curves, Strope et al. (2010) defined a heterogeneous *P* class as composed of objects showing a temporary flattening of their declines, lasting 15-500 days (median value  $\sim 70$  day; interestingly, the group does not include V723 Cas). While probably related to some members of the *P* class, the timescale of the V5856 Sgr plateau is at least one order of magnitude longer.

The photometric stability of V5856 Sgr during the plateau extends to the near-IR as well. In Figure 1 we plot the results gathered by the NeoWISE mission during its all-sky scanning, which revisits the position of V5856 Sgr twice a year (March and September). NeoWISE (Mainzer et al. 2011, 2014) refers to the data the WISE satellite is collecting in the W1 ( $3.4 \mu\text{m}$ ) and W2 ( $4.6 \mu\text{m}$ ) bands since it was brought out of hibernation and resumed observation in 2014, after the conclusion of the 2009-2010 cryogenic phase that also observed in the W3 ( $12 \mu\text{m}$ ) and W4 ( $22 \mu\text{m}$ ) bands (Cutri et al. 2021). During the 2018-2022 plateau, V5856 Sgr fluctuated by  $\sigma(W1)=0.25$  mag around the mean value  $\langle W1 \rangle = 9.36$  mag, and by  $\sigma(W1-W2)=0.06$  mag around the mean color  $\langle W1-W2 \rangle = +0.59$  mag.

The spectral appearance of V5856 Sgr during the plateau is shown in Figure 2. Prominent Balmer and HeI emission lines are superimposed on a strong and featureless continuum, in particular around the expected position for any Balmer discontinuity. Intense [OIII], [NII], and [OII] nebular lines are present, the corresponding strong auroral transitions suggesting high elec-

tron densities, too high for the original nova ejecta after several years of undisturbed expansion. All lines are resolved at a FWHM  $\sim 1000$  km/s, but with differences from line to line (see Sect. 6 below). The ionization degree is relatively low, with just a weak HeII 4686 visible in emission; the criteria outlined by Murset & Nussbaumer (1994) suggest  $T_{\text{eff}} = 5 \times 10^4$  K for the temperature of the photoionizing source.

Superimposed on a stable mean brightness during the plateau, V5856 Sgr presents some variability of limited amplitude, rather erratic in nature, with the exception of 2019 when the nova displayed a persistent oscillation superimposed on a mildly declining pattern, as illustrated in Figure 3. We **have carried out** a Fourier analysis of the data in Figure 3, which returned a low-significance periodicity of  $\sim 15$  days. In the lower panel of Figure 3 we overplot on the observations a sinusoid with a 15-day period and 0.25 mag semi-amplitude; the sinusoid is followed by the data rather closely for only a few cycles at a time, and then the correlation is lost.

### 3.2. Multicolor evolution in 2021-2022

After we called attention to its halted decline (Munari et al. 2021a,b), we started a daily *BVRI* monitoring of V5856 Sgr. The resulting light curves and color curves are presented in Figure 4, where the gap from late 2021 November to early 2022 February corresponds to the solar conjunction.

The photometric behavior of V5856 Sgr in Figure 4 is quite erratic: flat and smooth in 2021 July and September, separated by a sudden jump in August; the emergence of pronounced oscillations in 2021 October and November, which continued through 2022 February, March, and April. The timescale of the oscillations observed in 2021-22 is  $\sim 17$  days, similar to the 15-day pseudo-periodicity observed in 2019, where the brightness levels of the nova at both epochs are similar.

For the origin of this pseudo-periodicity, an orbital modulation seems unlikely given its seldom and sudden appearance and the many superimposed irregularities. In addition, such a long orbital period would imply an evolved and consequently bright companion to the WD, which sharply contrasts with the very large amplitude of the outburst and the nondetection by 2MASS in quiescence (Cutri et al. 2003). The presence of an evolved companion also contrasts with the absence of early nonthermal radio and X-ray emission (Chomiuk et al. 2021; Gordon et al. 2021), which is instead regularly observed in novae erupting within symbiotic binaries (e.g., Giroletti et al. 2020; Page et al. 2022), where the material fed to the circumstellar space by the evolved companion is violently impacted by the fast nova ejecta. Some kind of (radial) pulsation in the envelope could perhaps be a viable explanation; however, V5856 Sgr lies at a distance from the period–luminosity relation for normal pulsating stars (Groenewegen 2018), and also its position on the HR is away from the instability strip. At the large dimension derived below in Sect. 5.1 ( $\sim 25 R_{\odot}$ ), it is quite possible that the swollen shell of the burning WD engulfs the companion, and some instability driven by such a common-envelope arrangement may contribute to the observed 15–17-day pseudo-periodicity.

### 3.3. Deep minimum of 2022 May

The most prominent event of the 2021-2022 light curve in Figure 4 is the *deep minimum* (DM) and the subsequent recovery that V5856 Sgr exhibited around 2022 May. The photometric colors changed markedly during the DM, following an intriguing pattern: while completely flat in  $R-I$ , the variations in  $B-V$  were large and specular to those affecting  $V-R$ , clearly indicating that the  $V$  band is the culprit in the observed changes of the colors. A similar behavior also characterizes the photometry for 2021 in Figure 4, although with proportionally smaller changes in the colors.

In their analysis of color behavior in novae, Munari et al. (2013, cf. Nova Mon 2012 in their Fig. 1) noted how the  $V$  band is highly responsive to the flux emitted in [OIII] 4959+5007, which can account for  $\geq 0.5$  mag of the whole flux recorded through the  $V$  passband when the optical spectra are dominated by [OIII], as it is the case for the V5856 Sgr (see Figure 2). The median magnitudes and colors of V5856 Sgr in the months leading up to DM (February through April 2022) were  $V=12.721$ ,  $B-V=+0.577$ ,  $V-R=+0.439$ , and  $R-I=+0.255$ . The passage at minimum  $V$ -band brightness occurred around May 7.0 UT at  $V=13.508$  (see Table 1), but the extrema in the colors were reached only a week later around May 14.5 UT at  $B-V=+0.942$  and  $V-R=+0.074$ . The *blueing* of  $V-R$  by 0.365 mag and the *reddening* of  $B-V$  by an identical 0.365 mag points to a large strengthening of [OIII] 4959+5007 relative to the underlying continuum.

By a lucky coincidence, we have CHIRON spectra of V5856 Sgr for 2022 April 25 and June 8, which correspond to immediately before and soon after the changes in colors, and for May 15 when colors deviated the most. The fluxed profiles of [OIII] 5007 from these three CHIRON spectra is presented in the top

left panel of Figure 5, and confirms the suspected increase in the flux of [OIII] 4959+5007 at the time of maximum color change.

There may be more behind the DM, however. Its shape in brightness is not replicated by the variation of the colors in Figure 4, and the light minimum and color extrema are out of phase by approximately ten days. The other minima in Figure 4, like those occurring during October and November 2021, changed colors proportionally much less than the DM, and these changes were in the same direction for all colors, not specular as during the DM. It seems that the *spectroscopic* changes (surge in intensity of [OIII]) that drove the color changes happened by chance at the same time of the *photometric* DM deep minimum, but the two events may actually be unrelated.

## 4. Reddening

Of critical relevance to the determination of the radiated luminosity is a robust knowledge of the interstellar reddening affecting V5856 Sgr, which appears fairly well constrained to

$$E_{B-V} = 0.32 \pm 0.03 \quad (1)$$

by the converging results of the independent methods outlined below.

### 4.1. Photometric properties of the main outburst

Reddening determination from optical photometric colors during the main 2016 outburst of V5856 Sgr were thoroughly investigated by Munari et al. (2017): the average value from four different photometric criteria is  $E_{B-V} = 0.30 \pm 0.05$ .


### 4.2. Three-dimensional dust maps

V5856 Sgr is located within a few degrees of the direction to the Galactic center, at a distance of 6.4-7.0 kpc, following Munari et al. (2017), for which the 3D reddening maps of Schlegel et al. (1998) and Schlafly & Finkbeiner (2011) provide  $E_{B-V} = 0.35$  and 0.31, respectively.

### 4.3. Interstellar atomic lines

The CHIRON spectra of V5856 Sgr were examined for the presence of NaI 5890, 5896 and KI 7699 lines of interstellar origin. To increase the S/N, we first continuum-normalized all CHIRON spectra listed in Table 2 and then averaged them to produce Figure 6, which also shows a broad component in the NaI lines (highly variable among different spectra, see the bottom central panel of Figure 5), which we attribute to a low-velocity wind component, discussed below in Sect. 6.

The interstellar NaI in Figure 6 present at least two clearly separated components centered at heliocentric velocities  $-21.8$  and  $+4.3$   $\text{km s}^{-1}$ , respectively  $0.287$  and  $0.590$   $\text{\AA}$  in equivalent width. A Gaussian deconvolution shows the  $+4.3$   $\text{km s}^{-1}$  to be almost two times broader than the  $-21.8$   $\text{km s}^{-1}$  component ( $36$  vs  $22$   $\text{km s}^{-1}$  in FWHM), suggesting it is the blend of two unresolved components of probably similar intensity, albeit shifted in velocity. Taking the  $-21.8$   $\text{km s}^{-1}$  component as the profile of an unblended interstellar line, we deconvolved the blend at  $+4.3$   $\text{km s}^{-1}$  into two components of equal intensity. The three resulting interstellar components are then plotted as dotted lines in Figure 6, and their sum is compared to the observed profile, providing a nearly perfect match. Their heliocentric velocities and equivalent widths are  $-21.8$ ,  $-1.8$ , and  $+11.0$   $\text{km s}^{-1}$ , and



**Fig. 5.** High-resolution profiles of selected emission lines from the CHIRON spectra of V5856 Sgr listed in Table 2. The logarithm of the continuum-normalized spectrum is plotted with an offset equal to the  $V$ -band magnitude of the nova for that date (see legend in top left panel). The abscissae at the top are velocities in km/s with respect to the laboratory wavelength.


0.287, 0.295, and 0.295 Å, respectively. Applying to their equivalent widths the calibration of Munari & Zwitter (1997), we find the corresponding  $E_{B-V}=0.107$ , 0.111, and 0.111 reddening values, for a total  $E_{B-V}^{tot}=0.33$ . Quantifying its uncertainty is difficult in view of the arbitrary splitting of the  $+4.3 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  blend into two components of equal intensity and the nonlinear relation between equivalent width and reddening. Any gross deviation from equal intensity, however, would have manifested in a nonsymmetrical profile for their blend, contrary to the observed profile. For these reasons we conservatively estimate the error to be  $\pm 0.06$ .

The KI 7699 profile for V5856 Sgr in Figure 6 is rather noisy and perturbed by the telluric absorptions, which are quite strong in this spectral region (and wander around when adding spectra in heliocentric velocity), and probably also by a wind component that seems to be at a lower velocity than for NaI. Nonetheless, the KI 7699 profile in Figure 6 confirms that the  $+4.3 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  component seen in NaI must be the result of the blending of weaker individual components. Following the analysis in Munari & Zwitter (1997), if the  $+4.3 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  component is a single line and not the result of a blend, its large  $0.590 \text{ Å}$  equivalent width indicates line-core saturation, resulting in  $E_{B-V} \geq 0.55$ . At such


high reddening the equivalent width of KI 7699 is  $\geq 0.14 \text{ Å}$ , and the corresponding line would stand out clearly in Figure 6, contrary to evidence. If the NaI component at  $+4.3 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  is instead the blend of two weaker lines, as derived above the corresponding equivalent width of KI 7699 blend would be only  $\sim 0.06 \text{ Å}$ , a level similar to the noise affecting the spectrum of Figure 6.

#### 4.4. Ultraviolet 2175 Å hump

The interstellar extinction curve is characterized by the presence of a broad hump centered around 2175 Å. The *UVM2* photometric band of the *Swift* UVOT telescope includes this hump in its profile, and it is therefore sensitive to the amount of reddening. Adopting the interstellar extinction curve of Fitzpatrick (1999), to make the spectral energy distribution of V5856 Sgr run smoothly through the three UVOT bands in Figure 7 requires dereddening by  $E_{B-V}=0.30$ . A definition of a formal error is not trivial considering that the details of the interstellar extinction curve may depend on the given sightline and the loose definition about a *smooth* behavior for the spectral energy distribution of V5856 Sgr through the three UVOT bands. A change of  $\pm 0.05$



**Fig. 6.** Region around NaI 5890 and KI 7699 from averaged CHIRON spectra listed in Table 2. The interstellar components at  $-21.8$  and  $+4.3$  km/s velocity are indicated, as is their deconvolution (discussed in Sect. 4.3). The broad absorption labeled “wind” is variable from spectrum to spectrum.



**Fig. 7.** Reddening-corrected spectral energy distribution of V5856 Sgr at the epochs of the five Swift observations (see Sect. 5 for details).

to  $E_{B-V}=0.30$ , however, would be perceived by the eye as breaking such a smooth appearance.

## 5. Spectral energy distribution and energetics

The spectral energy distributions of V5856 Sgr on the five dates with a *Swift*-UVOT observation is presented in Figure 7. They are built combining the ultraviolet fluxes in Table 3 with *BVRI* photometry for the same dates from Table 1 and the NeOWISE

observations of 2021 (centered on March 24 and September 9), and are reddening-corrected for  $E_{B-V}=0.32$ .

Munari et al. (2017) estimated a large distance to V5856 Sgr, 6.4-7.0 kpc, from the photometric properties of the outburst; the position on the sky of the nova ( $l=004.29^\circ$ ,  $b=-06.46^\circ$ ) suggests a partnership with the Galactic Bulge; the Galactic reddening map of Green et al. (2019) returns a lower limit of 5 kpc to V5856 Sgr, and that of Chen et al. (2019) a distance  $\sim 8$  kpc; finally, the apparent underluminosity at radio wavelengths (Chomiuk et al. 2021) and the lack of detection in X-rays during the outburst (Gordon et al. 2021) both call for a large distance to V5856 Sgr ( $>6$  kpc). For these reasons we assume for the nova the same distance as the Galactic Bulge, 8.5 kpc, and scale the resulting energetics to it.

Integrating the flux of the distributions in Figure 7 over the 0.16-4.6  $\mu\text{m}$  interval covered by observations results in the following luminosities:

$$\frac{L_{(0.16-4.6\mu\text{m})}}{\left(\frac{D}{8.5 \text{ kpc}}\right)^2} = \quad (2)$$

$$6.74 \times 10^{36} \text{ erg/s} = 1740 L_\odot \quad [\text{2021 Aug 28}]$$

$$7.20 \times 10^{36} \text{ erg/s} = 1865 L_\odot \quad [\text{2021 Sep 18}]$$

$$1.14 \times 10^{37} \text{ erg/s} = 2950 L_\odot \quad [\text{2021 Oct 15}]$$

$$8.18 \times 10^{36} \text{ erg/s} = 2120 L_\odot \quad [\text{2021 Nov 05}]$$

$$7.45 \times 10^{36} \text{ erg/s} = 1925 L_\odot \quad [\text{2022 Apr 27}]$$

for an average of  $L=2120 L_\odot$ . These are lower limits to the actual values considering that the maximum is located at shorter wavelengths than covered by the UVOT observations.

To better constrain the actual luminosity radiated by V5856 Sgr, in Figure 8 we fitted with blackbodies the two distributions that in Figure 7 are characterized by the brightest (2021 August 28) and the faintest (2021 October 15) fluxes recorded by UVOT. A combination of three blackbodies were considered, one for the main component dominating at optical wavelengths and the other two to cover the UV and near-IR excesses. A combination of blackbodies clearly underrepresents the true shape of the SED; however, we are only interested in an approximate value for the bolometric luminosity. A more sophisticated modeling of the three components would require a much greater number of parameters than the only nine photometric values available to sample the SED.

An initial unconstrained run returned a total radiated luminosity of  $\sim 4100 L_\odot$  for 2021 August 28, and  $\sim 4300 L_\odot$  for 2021 October 15. Considering that a WD in stable nuclear burning conditions is expected to radiate at constant luminosity (Paczynski 1971), we imposed the condition that the sum of the luminosities of the three fitted blackbodies is the same at both epochs, and equal to the mean of the above two unconstrained fits

$$\frac{L}{\left(\frac{D}{8.5 \text{ kpc}}\right)^2} = 1.62 \times 10^{37} \text{ erg/s} = 4200 L_\odot, \quad (3)$$


which corresponds to the hydrogen burning of material of solar composition at a rate of

$$\dot{M} = 5.7 \times 10^{-8} M_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1}. \quad (4)$$

The total luminosity of the burning shell is thought to be well represented by the core-mass-luminosity relation of Paczyński (1971)

$$L = 60,000 \left(\frac{M_{\text{WD}}}{M_\odot} - 0.522\right) L_\odot, \quad (5)$$





**Fig. 8.** Fit with three blackbodies to the brightest and the faintest of the reddening-corrected spectral energy distributions in Figure 7.

which returns a mass of  $0.6 M_{\odot}$  for the WD in V5856 Sgr, in good agreement with more recent investigations on steady H-burning at the surface of a WD by, among others, Nomoto et al. (2007), Shen & Bildsten (2007), and Wolf et al. (2013). A low-mass WD is also favored by the low expansion velocity of the ejecta observed at the time of the nova eruption (cf. Warner 1995, and references therein).

If  $L=4200 L_{\odot}$  has remained constant during the 2018.0–2022.5 plateau, the total amount of radiated energy during this period is

$$E^{(2018.0-2022.5)} = 2.15 \times 10^{45} \left( \frac{D}{8.5 \text{ kpc}} \right)^2 \text{ erg}, \quad (6)$$

which corresponds to the hydrogen burning of  $2.4 \times 10^{-7} M_{\odot}$  of material of solar composition. This is a small quantity of material to be retained by the WD on its surface compared to the amount of mass ejected in a nova outburst, which is generally estimated in the  $10^{-4}$  to  $10^{-6} M_{\odot}$  range (Gehrz et al. 1998).

Some comments are now in order about the three components of the SED fitting in Figure 8.

### 5.1. Main component

The main component to the SED deconvolution in Figure 8 is a 7600 K blackbody, fitting both data sets similarly well (within  $\pm 200$  K). Its luminosity is  $1325 L_{\odot}$  on 2021 August 28 and  $2030 L_{\odot}$  on 2021 October 15. The corresponding radii are 21 and  $26 R_{\odot}$ . The temperature and dimensions roughly match those of an F0 II/Ib bright giant. We identify this main component as the shell of the WD inflated by the stable nuclear burning at its base. As we discuss in Sect. 6, a constant wind is blowing off this shell, which the observations indicate produces an effective photosphere for the visible-IR radiation.

### 5.2. Hot component

The temperature of the hotter component in Figure 8 varies from  $3 \times 10^4$  to  $5.5 \times 10^4$  K, in good agreement with the estimate from the spectral appearance of V5856 Sgr in Figure 2, following Murset & Nussbaumer (1994). The hot component varies in anti-phase with the main component at 7600 K, as if some reprocessing may be at play from the hot to the main component. The luminosity and radius of the hot component are  $2825 L_{\odot}$  and  $0.6 R_{\odot}$  on 2021 August 28, and  $2120 L_{\odot}$  and  $1.7 R_{\odot}$  on 2021 October 15. Given the low temperature of the main component, the emission lines observed in V5856 Sgr appear powered by the hot component. Its location within V5856 Sgr is uncertain, but it could be related to the polar regions of WD shell, from where the faster wind discussed in Sect. 6 below probably originates.

### 5.3. Dust

The same set of NeoWISE observations for 2021 September 9 was included in the fit to both dates in Figure 8 as the closest in time to the optical and UVOT data. The resulting 1200 K blackbody probably traces emission originating from warm circumstellar dust and radiates  $L_{\text{IR}} \sim 52 L_{\odot}$ , for a blackbody radius of  $165 R_{\odot}$ , which is widely external to the main and hot components. The dust, however, could be located at greater radii if, instead of being arranged spherically, it formed in the equatorial belt discussed in Sect. 7.

The infrared data available for V5856 Sgr do not allow the physical properties of the dust to be constrained. For the sake of discussion, we can assume that the dust grains condensing in V5856 Sgr follow the mean properties observed in other novae (Gehrz 1988; Mason et al. 1996; Evans et al. 1997; Gehrz et al. 1998), meaning that they are small carbon grains (radius  $a \leq 1 \mu\text{m}$ , density  $\rho \sim 2.3 \text{ gr cm}^{-3}$ ), for which the Planck mean emission cross section goes as  $Q_e = 0.01 a T_{\text{dust}}^2$ . Under these assumptions, the mass of the radiating dust in V5856 Sgr can be

estimated as

$$M_{dust} = 1.17 \times 10^6 \rho T_{dust}^{-6} \left( \frac{L_{IR}}{L_{\odot}} \right) = 3.2 \times 10^{-11} M_{\odot}, \quad (7)$$

which is rather low and unable to contribute to the reddening affecting V5856 Sgr.

The remarkable stability of the NeoWISE W1, W2 light curve in Figure 1 suggests that the dust responsible for the infrared excess cannot be associated with the expanding ejecta of the initial outburst, bound to cool and fall into oblivion as they disperse into the surrounding void (Gehrz 1990). New dust must instead be forming regularly in the wind constantly blowing off the central star. The high  $T_{dust} \sim 1200$  K temperature indicates that the dust grains condense close to the central star, as close as is allowed by their sublimation temperature. As discussed in Sect. 6, V5856 Sgr loses mass via winds at different velocities, and the shock interface where they collide could also be a suitable environment for dust condensation (e.g., Derdzinski et al. 2017).

#### 5.4. The X-ray component

The  $3\text{--}5.5 \times 10^4$  K temperature of the hot component in Figure 8 cannot account for the X-ray flux recorded by *Swift*, which amounts to  $L_X = 0.56 L_{\odot}$  over the 0.3–10 keV range for an unabsorbed bremsstrahlung temperature of  $kT = 1.0$  keV (value averaged over the five pointings by *Swift*). This X-ray component probably forms in the outflow from the central star. Unfortunately, there are not enough collected X-ray photons to attempt any spectral modeling, for example to quantify the contribution of the super-soft emission associated with the nuclear burning, which is expected to be heavily absorbed from within the WD inflated shell.

## 6. Complex wind outflow


The gravity at the surface of the  $T_{\text{eff}} = 7600$  K,  $R = 21\text{--}26 R_{\odot}$  main component in Figure 8 is probably rather low,  $\log g \sim 1.4\text{--}1.8$ , depending on the total mass of the WD and its orbiting companion, surely much lower than  $\log g \sim 2.5$  characterizing a normal F0 II/Ib bright giant (Straizys & Kuriliene 1981). From the Reimers (1977) expression for mass loss, a wind is expected to blow off the main component at a rate ( $L$ ,  $R$ , and  $M$  in solar units)

$$\dot{M}_{wind} = 4 \times 10^{-13} \left( \frac{LR}{M} \right) = 5 \times 10^{-8} M_{\odot} \text{yr}^{-1}, \quad (8)$$

similar to the rate at which hydrogen is burnt in the shell of the WD (cf. Eq. (4)). Therefore, the mass in the WD shell available to sustain hydrogen burning reduces at a rate  $\approx 1 \times 10^{-7} M_{\odot} \text{yr}^{-1}$ .

The spectra of V5856 Sgr during the plateau indeed provide evidence for a sustained wind blowing off the central star, as illustrated by the high-resolution profiles for selected emission lines in Figure 5. The spectra in this figure are plotted according to the brightness of V5856 Sgr in the V band, and clearly show how the profile displayed by the emission lines is dependent on the system brightness, the dividing line being  $V \sim 13.0$  mag.

When the system is fainter than that (observing epochs **2021 June 29, 2022 May 15, and 2021 July 30** or the lowest three profiles in each panel of Figure 5), the profiles are dominated by a trapezoidal pedestal with a full width at zero intensity (FWZI)  $\sim 1600$  km/s, similarly present for both permitted and forbidden transitions. When V5856 Sgr turns brighter than  $V = 13.0$



**Fig. 9.** Zoomed-in view from Figure 5 of the central peak displayed by permitted emission lines. The abscissae at the top are velocities in km/s with respect to laboratory wavelength (dot-dashed vertical lines). The dashed vertical line is the  $-65$  km/s velocity discussed in Sect. 6.

mag, a broader and boxier FWZI  $\sim 3400$  km/s pedestal adds to the profile of permitted lines, but not to that of forbidden lines.  $H\alpha$  is the strongest permitted line in the optical spectra of V5856 Sgr, and it shows the FWZI  $\sim 3400$  km/s component at all epochs, even if it is weaker when the nova is fainter than  $V = 13.0$  mag. So, it seems appropriate to say that the FWZI  $\sim 3400$  km/s pedestal *reinforces* when the system is bright, turning visible also for permitted lines much weaker than  $H\alpha$ . The integrated absolute flux of the FWZI  $\sim 1600$  km/s pedestal appears to decrease with the system brightness, the reverse holding true for the FWZI  $\sim 3400$  km/s component.

The  $V = 13.0$  mag threshold also drives another striking difference in Figure 5, this one affecting the HeI lines. When V5856 Sgr is fainter the FWZI  $\sim 1600$  km/s looks symmetric, for both triplet (5876 Å) and singlet (6678) lines, but when the system turns brighter, its blue half goes missing, and the same also happens to the FWZI  $\sim 3400$  km/s component. It looks as if they get chewed up by a wide P Cyg absorption. At the same time, a P Cyg minimum at about  $-250$  km/s appears in HeI 6678, without much of a counterpart in HeI 5876.

Superimposed on the broad and two-component pedestal, the permitted lines show a sharp peak flanked by one or two low-velocity absorption components, as illustrated by Figure 9 that zooms in on the core of the same profiles presented in Figure 5. A similar peak is not presented by nebular emission lines. The he-

liocentric radial velocity and width of the narrow emission peak are  $RV_{\odot}^{em} = -65 \pm 1$  km/s and  $FWHM \sim 650$  km/s, respectively. The absorptions to the blue of the emission peak are rather variable from line to line and epoch to epoch, with no obvious relation with the  $V=13.0$  mag threshold affecting the two pedestals. For HeI 5876, H $\beta$  and H $\alpha$  the main absorption is positioned around  $-150$  km/s, a value similar to that displayed by NaI in Figure 6.

In addition to the  $FWZI \sim 1600$  km/s and  $FWZI \sim 3400$  km/s pedestals and the  $FWHM \sim 650$  km/s narrow peak, there is a fourth velocity component in V5856 Sgr, the one displayed by FeII emission lines, which is characterized by a narrow and double-peaked profile with a velocity separation  $\Delta v \sim 65$  km/s, clearly illustrated by FeII 6516 (multiplet 40) in the H $\alpha$  panel in Figure 5. The intensity, width, and velocity separation of the double-peak FeII line profiles do not change in a noticeable way with the date or the brightness of V5856 Sgr, and therefore no  $V=13.0$  mag threshold apply to them. The velocity in the  $\Delta v \sim 65$  km/s component is well below the  $v_{esc} \sim 125$  km/s escape velocity for the surface of the  $\sim 25 R_{\odot}$  inflated shell of the WD.

Summing up, during its current plateau V5856 Sgr has been losing a sizeable amount of mass via wind, which is organized in multiple emission components, neatly segregated on kinematical grounds:  $FWZI \sim 3400$ ,  $FWZI \sim 1600$  km/s, and  $FWHM \sim 650$  km/s. Wind absorptions are equally present, for example the high-velocity mutilations to the HeI profile when the system is brighter than 13 mag, and the components at  $-250$  and  $-150$  km/s.

## 7. Conclusions

The observations we collected and discussed show that V5856 Sgr, six years past its nova outburst in 2016, remains bright, having spent the last 4.5 yrs at an average  $I=12.05$  mag, which is only  $\Delta I=6.5$  mag down from the maximum and still  $\Delta I \geq 10$  mag brighter than quiescence. During the current plateau, it is radiating a luminosity  $L \sim 4200 L_{\odot}$ , consistent with stable nuclear burning on a WD of  $0.6 M_{\odot}$ . V5856 Sgr is the source of a strong wind, which is structured into three distinct and variable emission components with  $FWZI \sim 3400$ ,  $FWZI \sim 1600$ , and  $FWHM \sim 650$ . The pseudo-photosphere dominating at optical wavelengths has  $T_{eff} \sim 7600$  K and  $R \sim 25 R_{\odot}$ , widely engulfing the binary system within. The mass in the WD shell available to sustain hydrogen burning reduces at a rate  $\approx 1 \times 10^{-7} M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ , while dust at  $T_{dust} \sim 1200$  K and  $L \sim 52 L_{\odot}$  keeps forming in the outflow. The WD shell can either be remnant material after the nova eruption or can originate from enhanced mass-transfer from an irradiated swollen secondary.

Blowing off the wind requires mechanical work. The ratio of the fluxes radiated in the three components is 10%:60%:30% for  $FWHM=650$ ,  $FWZI=1600$ , and  $FWZI=3400$  km/s, respectively, as average values for H $\alpha$  over spectra in Figure 5. Applying these proportions to the mass of the wind in Eq. (8), the corresponding energy going into blowing the wind is

$$E_{kin} = 1.2 \times 10^{32} + 6.1 \times 10^{33} + 1.4 \times 10^{34} = 2 \times 10^{34} \text{ erg}, \quad (9)$$

which is negligible with respect to the amount of radiated energy in Eq. (3).

Given these mass ratios, it may be surprising that no counterpart of the faster  $FWZI \sim 3400$  km/s wind is visible in the profiles of nebular lines in Figure 5, which are dominated by the  $FWZI \sim 1600$  km/s component. There are some possible reasons for this: (a) the  $FWZI \sim 3400$  km/s wind may be slowed down by the  $FWZI \sim 1600$  km/s material before it reaches the outer radii

where the electronic density is low enough for the formation of nebular lines, and the X-ray emission recorded by *Swift* could originate from these colliding winds (Muerstet et al. 1997; Luna et al. 2013), and/or (b) the  $FWZI \sim 3400$  km/s material is ejected only episodically, and it needs the right time interval to travel to the outer radii where the electron density drops below the critical value for collisional de-excitation, and thus detecting the  $FWZI \sim 3400$  km/s material in the profile of nebular lines could be a matter of observing at the right time after such an ejection.

The profiles of permitted emission lines in V5856 Sgr are similar to those in V2672 Oph (Nova Oph 2009), which were morphokinematically modelled by Munari et al. (2011) with three components tracing an equatorial belt, polar caps for fast bipolar ejection, and a prolate component for the primary outflow. By analogy, we infer that the  $FWZI \sim 1600$  km/s pedestal, stable over time and shown by all lines, traces the steady wind blowing off the shell of the WD in a kind of spherically symmetric spatial arrangement. The  $FWZI \sim 3400$  km/s broader components could relate instead to an episodic bipolar wind, blown off preferentially along the polar directions, implying that we are looking at V5856 Sgr from an orientation that is closer to pole-on than edge-on. Finally, the narrow emission peak traces material laying on the equatorial plane, characterized by an electron density that is too high to allow the formation of nebular lines. The variable and low-velocity absorption components, blueshifted by about  $-85 \pm 1$  km/s from the narrow emission peak, may form in a gentle mass-loss from the equatorial belt.

The inferred approximately pole-on orientation of V5856 Sgr seems to add to the already rich assortment of oddities displayed by this nova. From the decline-time versus the outburst amplitude of novae derived by Warner (1995, Figure 5.4 in the book), the amplitude expected for the  $\log(t_2)=0.9$  decline-time derived by Munari et al. (2017) for V5856 Sgr is  $\Delta m=12$  mag. The observed  $\Delta I \geq 16.4$  mag is much larger, surely one of the largest on record. Increasing the orbital inclination toward edge-on conditions (albeit in contrast with the spectral line profiles) would widen the outburst amplitude up to  $\Delta=15$  mag, still appreciably short of the observed value.

V5856 Sgr is clearly a nova of many peculiarities, which surely deserves deeper investigations of its main outburst and a continued monitoring of the protracted plateau phase in which it is currently trapped.

*Acknowledgements.* We thank the referee for useful comments. NM acknowledges financial support through ASI-INAF agreement 2017-14-H.0 (PI: T. Belloni). FMW acknowledges support from NSF grant AST-1614113. This publication makes use of data products from the Near-Earth Object Wide-field Infrared Survey Explorer (NEOWISE), which is a joint project of the Jet Propulsion Laboratory/California Institute of Technology and the University of Arizona. NEOWISE is funded by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

## References

- Blackburn, J. K. 1995, in *Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series*, Vol. 77, *Astronomical Data Analysis Software and Systems IV*, ed. R. A. Shaw, H. E. Payne, & J. J. E. Hayes, 367
- Breeveld, A. A., Landsman, W., Holland, S. T., et al. 2011, in *American Institute of Physics Conference Series*, Vol. 1358, *Gamma Ray Bursts 2010*, ed. J. E. McEnery, J. L. Racusin, & N. Gehrels, 373–376
- Burrows, D. N., Hill, J. E., Nousek, J. A., et al. 2005, *Space Sci. Rev.*, 120, 165
- Chen, B. Q., Huang, Y., Yuan, H. B., et al. 2019, *MNRAS*, 483, 4277

- Chomiuk, L., Linford, J. D., Aydi, E., et al. 2021, *ApJS*, 257, 49
- Cutri, R. M., Skrutskie, M. F., van Dyk, S., et al. 2003, *VizieR Online Data Catalog*, II/246
- Cutri, R. M., Wright, E. L., Conrow, T., et al. 2021, *VizieR Online Data Catalog*, II/328
- Derdzinski, A. M., Metzger, B. D., & Lazzati, D. 2017, *MNRAS*, 469, 1314
- Evans, A., Geballe, T. R., Rawlings, J. M. C., Eyres, S. P. S., & Davies, J. K. 1997, *MNRAS*, 292, 192
- Fitzpatrick, E. L. 1999, *PASP*, 111, 63
- Gehrels, N., Chincarini, G., Giommi, P., et al. 2004, *ApJ*, 611, 1005
- Gehrz, R. D. 1988, *ARA&A*, 26, 377
- Gehrz, R. D. 1990, in *IAU Colloq. 122: Physics of Classical Novae*, ed. A. Cassatella & R. Viotti, Vol. 369 (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Germany), 138
- Gehrz, R. D., Truran, J. W., Williams, R. E., & Starrfield, S. 1998, *PASP*, 110, 3
- Giroletti, M., Munari, U., Körding, E., et al. 2020, *A&A*, 638, A130
- Goranskij, V. P., Metlova, N. V., Zharova, A. V., Barsukova, E. A., & Valeev, A. F. 2015, *The Astronomer's Telegram*, 7985, 1
- Gordon, A. C., Aydi, E., Page, K. L., et al. 2021, *ApJ*, 910, 134
- Green, G. M., Schlafly, E., Zucker, C., Speagle, J. S., & Finkbeiner, D. 2019, *ApJ*, 887, 93
- Groenewegen, M. A. T. 2018, *A&A*, 619, A8
- Hamilton-Drager, C. M., Lane, R. I., Recine, K. A., et al. 2018, *AJ*, 155, 58
- Hill, J. E., Burrows, D. N., Nousek, J. A., et al. 2004, in *Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series*, Vol. 5165, *X-Ray and Gamma-Ray Instrumentation for Astronomy XIII*, ed. K. A. Flanagan & O. H. W. Siegmund, 217–231
- Hjellming, R. M., Wade, C. M., Vandenberg, N. R., & Newell, R. T. 1979, *AJ*, 84, 1619
- Kochanek, C. S., Shappee, B. J., Stanek, K. Z., et al. 2017, *PASP*, 129, 104502
- Landolt, A. U. 2009, *AJ*, 137, 4186
- Li, K.-L. 2022, *ApJ*, 924, L17
- Li, K.-L., Chomiuk, L., & Strader, J. 2016a, *The Astronomer's Telegram*, 9736, 1
- Li, K.-L., Chomiuk, L., Strader, J., et al. 2016b, *The Astronomer's Telegram*, 9771, 1
- Li, K.-L., Metzger, B. D., Chomiuk, L., et al. 2017, *Nature Astronomy*, 1, 697
- Lucas, P. 2016, *The Astronomer's Telegram*, 9678, 1
- Luna, G. J. M., Sokoloski, J. L., Mukai, K., & Nelson, T. 2013, *A&A*, 559, A6
- Mainzer, A., Bauer, J., Cutri, R. M., et al. 2014, *ApJ*, 792, 30
- Mainzer, A., Bauer, J., Grav, T., et al. 2011, *ApJ*, 731, 53
- Mason, C. G., Gehrz, R. D., Woodward, C. E., et al. 1996, *ApJ*, 470, 577
- Mroz, P., Udalski, A., & Pietrukowicz, P. 2016, *The Astronomer's Telegram*, 9683, 1
- Muerset, U., Wolff, B., & Jordan, S. 1997, *A&A*, 319, 201
- Munari, U., Dallaporta, S., Castellani, F., et al. 2013, *MNRAS*, 435, 771
- Munari, U., Hamsch, F. J., & Frigo, A. 2017, *MNRAS*, 469, 4341
- Munari, U., Masetti, N., Williams, F. M. W. R. E., Valisa, P., & Dallaporta, S. 2021a, *The Astronomer's Telegram*, 14884, 1
- Munari, U., Ribeiro, V. A. R. M., Bode, M. F., & Saguner, T. 2011, *MNRAS*, 410, 525
- Munari, U., Williams, R., Valisa, P., & Dallaporta, S. 2021b, *The Astronomer's Telegram*, 14804, 1
- Munari, U. & Zwitter, T. 1997, *A&A*, 318, 269
- Murset, U. & Nussbaumer, H. 1994, *A&A*, 282, 586
- Nakano, S., Sakurai, Y., Schmeer, P., & Stanek, K. Z. 2017, *IAU Circ.*, 9286, 1
- Ness, J. U., Goranskij, V. P., Page, K. L., Osborne, J., & Schwarz, G. 2015, *The Astronomer's Telegram*, 8053, 1
- Nomoto, K., Saio, H., Kato, M., & Hachisu, I. 2007, *ApJ*, 663, 1269
- Ochner, P., Moschini, F., Munari, U., & Frigo, A. 2015, *MNRAS*, 454, 123
- Paczyński, B. 1971, *Acta Astron.*, 21, 417
- Page, K. L., Beardmore, A. P., Osborne, J. P., et al. 2022, *MNRAS*, 514, 1557
- Poole, T. S., Breeveld, A. A., Page, M. J., et al. 2008, *MNRAS*, 383, 627
- Predehl, P. & Schmitt, J. H. M. M. 1995, *A&A*, 293, 889
- Reimers, D. 1977, *A&A*, 61, 217
- Roming, P. W. A., Kennedy, T. E., Mason, K. O., et al. 2005, *Space Sci. Rev.*, 120, 95
- Rudy, R. J., Crawford, K. B., & Russell, R. W. 2016, *The Astronomer's Telegram*, 9849, 1
- Schlafly, E. F. & Finkbeiner, D. P. 2011, *ApJ*, 737, 103
- Schlegel, D. J., Finkbeiner, D. P., & Davis, M. 1998, *ApJ*, 500, 525
- Shappee, B. J., Prieto, J. L., Grupe, D., et al. 2014, *ApJ*, 788, 48
- Shen, K. J. & Bildsten, L. 2007, *ApJ*, 660, 1444
- Stanek, K. Z., Kochanek, C. S., Brown, J. S., et al. 2016, *The Astronomer's Telegram*, 9669, 1
- Straizys, V. & Kuriliene, G. 1981, *Ap&SS*, 80, 353
- Strope, R. J., Schaefer, B. E., & Henden, A. A. 2010, *AJ*, 140, 34
- Tokovinin, A., Fischer, D. A., Bonati, M., et al. 2013, *PASP*, 125, 1336
- van Dokkum, P. G. 2001, *PASP*, 113, 1420
- Warner, B. 1995, *Cataclysmic variable stars*, Vol. 28 (Cambridge Univ. Press)
- Wolf, W. M., Bildsten, L., Brooks, J., & Paxton, B. 2013, *ApJ*, 777, 136