







# Two striking head–tail galaxies in the galaxy cluster IIZW108: insights into transition to turbulence, magnetic fields, and particle re-acceleration

Ancla Müller <sup>1</sup>★, Christoph Pfrommer <sup>2</sup>, Alessandro Ignesti,<sup>3</sup> Alessia Moretti <sup>3</sup>, Ana Lourenço <sup>4</sup>, Rosita Paladino,<sup>5</sup> Yara Jaffé <sup>4</sup>, Myriam Gitti,<sup>5,6</sup> Tiziana Venturi,<sup>5</sup> Marco Gullieuszik,<sup>3</sup> Bianca Poggianti,<sup>3</sup> Benedetta Vulcani <sup>3</sup>, Andrea Biviano,<sup>7,8</sup> Björn Adebahr<sup>1</sup> and Ralf-Jürgen Dettmar<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Physics and Astronomy, Ruhr University Bochum, Astronomical Institute, Universitätsstr 150, D-44801 Bochum, Germany

<sup>2</sup>Leibniz-Institute for Astrophysics Potsdam (AIP), An der Sternwarte 16, D-14482 Potsdam, Germany

<sup>3</sup>INAF-Osservatorio Astronomico di Padova, Vicolo dell'Osservatorio 5, I-35122 Padova, Italy

<sup>4</sup>Instituto de Física y Astronomía, Universidad de Valparaíso, Avda. Gran Bretaña 1111 de Valparaíso, Chile

<sup>5</sup>INAF, Istituto di Radioastronomia di Bologna, Via Gobetti 101, I-40129 Bologna, Italy

<sup>6</sup>Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia, Università di Bologna, Via Gobetti 93/2, I-40129 Bologna, Italy

<sup>7</sup>INAF-Osservatorio Astronomico di Trieste, via G. B. Tiepolo 11, I-34143 Trieste, Italy

<sup>8</sup>IFPU-Institute for Fundamental Physics of the Universe, via Beirut 2, I-34014 Trieste, Italy

Accepted 2021 October 6. Received 2021 October 1; in original form 2021 July 28

## ABSTRACT

We present deep Jansky Very Large Array observations at 1.4 and 2.7 GHz (full polarization), as well as optical OmegaWINGS/WINGS and X-ray observations of two extended radio galaxies in the IIZW108 galaxy cluster at  $z = 0.04889$ . They show a bent tail morphology in agreement with a radio lobed galaxy falling into the cluster potential. Both galaxies are found to possess properties comparable with narrow-angle tail galaxies in the literature even though they are part of a low mass cluster. We find a spectral index steepening and an increase in fractional polarization through the galaxy jets and an ordered magnetic field component mostly aligned with the jet direction. This is likely caused by either shear due to the velocity difference of the intracluster medium and the jet fluid and/or magnetic draping of the intracluster medium across the galaxy jets. We find clear evidence that one source is showing two active galactic nuclei (AGN) outbursts from which we expect the AGN has never turned off completely. We show that pure standard electron cooling cannot explain the jet length. We demonstrate therefore that these galaxies can be used as a laboratory to study gentle re-acceleration of relativistic electrons in galaxy jets via transition from laminar to turbulent motion.

**Key words:** acceleration of particles – galaxies: clusters: individual: IIZW108 – galaxies: interactions – galaxies: jets.

## 1 INTRODUCTION

Radio galaxies found in cluster environments often show distorted morphologies due to their interaction with the intracluster medium (ICM). In particular, a class of so-called head–tail (HT) galaxies has been discussed over decades (O’Dea & Owen 1985; Mao et al. 2009; Terni de Gregory et al. 2017; Cuciti et al. 2018; Srivastava & Singal 2020). They appear with a very luminous head [the active galactic nuclei (AGN) in the centre of the hosting galaxy] and extended jets that are bent by the ram pressure experienced by the galaxy due to its motion through the ICM. Typically, the jets are fanning out and expand in the form of lobes in the wake of the galaxy. HT galaxies are generally classified as Fanaroff–Riley I (FRI; see Fanaroff & Riley 1974) objects with a radio power  $< 10^{25}$  W Hz<sup>−1</sup> at 1.4 GHz and exhibit a luminous head and fainter jets (for more details, see also Saripalli 2012). These galaxy types can be divided into two subclasses: (1) narrow-angle tail (NAT) jets experiencing high ram pressure (Venkatesan et al. 1994) and (2) wide-angle tail jets that

experience a weaker ram pressure, which could be caused by low velocities relative to the cluster centre as a result of cluster–cluster mergers (Klamer, Subrahmanyan & Hunstead 2004). It is also found that the morphology of WATs cannot be explained only due to the motion of the host galaxy but by its combination with the bulk motion of the dense ICM in the central cluster regions (Bliton et al. 1998; Giacintucci & Venturi 2009). These systems are useful to probe different aspects of the interaction of the radio source with the ICM and to study general properties of the ICM as well as cluster and galaxy evolution in general.

HT galaxies have the same characteristics in rich and poor clusters (Venkatesan et al. 1994). The velocity ( $\sim 600$  km s<sup>−1</sup>) of them, as well as the density of the surrounding medium ( $\sim 10^{-4}$  cm<sup>−3</sup> in poor clusters), is therefore found to be an important factor in shaping such jets. Radio continuum studies have shown a general steepening of the spectral index from the head (showing very flat spectra  $j_\nu \propto \nu^\alpha$  with  $\alpha = -0.4$ ) towards the tail (with spectral indices up to  $\alpha = -2.5$  to  $-4$ , see Cuciti et al. 2018; Srivastava & Singal 2020). While the flat spectra at the head signal can be caused by electron acceleration at strong shocks, possibly in combination with free–free absorption (McKean et al. 2016), the spectral steepening along the

\* E-mail: [amueller@astro.rub.de](mailto:amueller@astro.rub.de)

jet is in agreement with radiative ageing of the electrons. However, also substructures with high surface brightness within the jet can be found, which may signal shock compression (Cuciti et al. 2018) or re-energization (de Gasperin et al. 2017) that influence the electron spectra as well as the magnetic field strength and structure. The NATs exist with a large variety of opening angles between the two jets (O’Dea & Owen 1985) and jet length (30 up to 900 kpc, see O’Dea & Owen 1985; Srivastava & Singal 2020). O’Dea & Owen (1985) also found a correlation between the intensity of the head and the total NAT luminosity, which is larger in smaller clusters as inferred from the original richness class of Abell clusters (Abell 1958).

The radio total power emission traces the total magnetic field. The regular magnetic field component can be investigated using radio polarization measurements. While strength and orientation of the magnetic field component in the plane of the sky are directly given by the intensity of the polarized emission and its angle, respectively, the component along the line of sight can be estimated from the Faraday rotation measure  $RM = 0.81 \int_0^L n_e(s) \mathbf{B}(s) \cdot ds$  (e.g. Brentjens & de Bruyn 2005), where RM is in  $\text{rad m}^{-2}$ ,  $s$  is the coordinate along the line of sight,  $L$  is the distance from the source to us in parsec,  $n_e$  is the electron density along the line of sight in particles per  $\text{cm}^3$ , and  $\mathbf{B}$  is the magnetic vector field with the field strength measured in units of  $\mu\text{G}$ . Such polarization studies of HT galaxies are still rare but Miley (1973) already found significant linear polarization along the jet with a steady rising in the degree of polarization. However, no correction for Faraday rotation was applied to the data. More recently, Klammer et al. (2004) also found the degree of polarization to increase along the jet, reaching values up to 60 per cent, while the core region shows values about 10 per cent. The magnetic field configuration (ordered component) was found to be aligned with the jet flow. Slight disruptions could be measured associated with a bent jet along the line of sight.

On a broader perspective, AGN jet interactions with the ICM have received great interest because the interplay of cooling gas, subsequent star formation, and nuclear activity appears to be tightly coupled to a self-regulated feedback loop (for reviews, see McNamara & Nulsen 2007, 2012; Gitti, Brighenti & McNamara 2012; Soker 2016). The physics of this interaction regulates the amount of cooling and star formation via dissipation of mechanical heat by outflows, lobes, or sound waves from the AGN (e.g. Churazov et al. 2001; Brüggén & Kaiser 2002; Ruszkowski & Begelman 2002; Ruszkowski, Brüggén & Begelman 2004; Brüggén, Ruszkowski & Hallman 2005; Gaspari, Brighenti & Temi 2012) or due to cosmic rays escaping from the jet lobes that resonantly drive Alfvén waves, which are damped and thereby heat the ambient cooling ICM (Guo & Oh 2008; Pfrommer 2013; Jacob & Pfrommer 2017a,b; Ruszkowski, Yang & Reynolds 2017; Ehlert et al. 2018). Simulations of interactions of AGN jets with a realistic magnetized and/or turbulent ICM (Brüggén et al. 2005; Heinz et al. 2006; Sijacki et al. 2008; O’Neill & Jones 2010; Mendygral, O’Neill & Jones 2011; Bourne & Sijacki 2017; Weinberger et al. 2017; Bourne, Sijacki & Puchwein 2019; Ehlert et al. 2021) enable to probe the characteristics of ICM turbulence, the filling of the AGN jet lobes through the Sunyaev–Zel’dovich effect (Pfrommer, Enßlin & Sarazin 2005; Ehlert et al. 2019), and enable to indirectly infer the dynamical state of the local ICM through observations of the radio morphologies of HT galaxies (Pfrommer & Jones 2011; Jones et al. 2017). In particular, simulations of NAT galaxies with passive cosmic ray electrons (O’Neill et al. 2019a) that encounter the passage of (possibly oblique) shocks (O’Neill et al. 2019b; Nolting et al. 2019a,b) can be used to produce synthetic radio observations and to study turbulence and particle acceleration in the jet lobes.

In this paper, we give detailed insights into the radio continuum and polarization properties of two NAT galaxies that are members of the same low-mass cluster IIZW108. We will use this information in addition to archival X-ray data and a detailed optical study to analyse the non-thermal component in these AGN jets experiencing ram-pressure interaction. While a continuous steepening in the spectral index indicates progressive ageing of electrons as they propagate along the galaxy jets, a deviation from this behaviour indicates a more complex situation. For example, flattening of the spectral index can be caused by internal shocks or turbulent re-acceleration, and a contribution by an external source in projection along the line of sight is also a possibility. By carefully analysing the radio morphology and spectral properties of the tails, we study a possible transition from laminar to turbulent motion in AGN jets and assess the consequences for particle (re-)acceleration and comment on the AGN duty cycle.

The paper is structured as follows: Section 2 gives an overview of the observations and different data products used through this study. In Section 3, we present the continuum and polarization results for the two HT galaxies as well as a detailed study of the spectral index and fractional polarization evolution through the jets. We derive ICM properties via a de-projected X-ray study. In Section 4, we discuss the implications of our results by studying the effect of turbulent motion, the resulting electron cooling length and multiple (two) AGN cycles and conclude in Section 5. We assume a standard flat  $\Lambda\text{CDM}$  cosmology with  $H_0 = 70$ ,  $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.7$ , and  $\Omega_M = 0.3$ . With a cluster central redshift of 0.04889 (Moretti et al. 2017) this results in a scale of  $0.957 \text{ kpc arcsec}^{-1}$ .

## 2 DATA

### 2.1 Observed targets

We detected two extended HT galaxies in the IIZW108 cluster, which are included in the field of view of two observations targeting a galaxy experiencing extreme ram-pressure stripping, the jellyfish galaxy JO206 (Ramatsoku et al. 2019; Müller et al. 2021) with the Karl G. Jansky Very Large Array (JVLA). In Table 1 general information about the two HT galaxies can be found. IIZW108 is a low-mass cluster, characterized by a line-of-sight velocity dispersion of  $575 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  (Biviano et al. 2017) that translates into a three-dimensional velocity dispersion of  $\sim 1000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ . The two HT galaxies have an optical counterpart detected in Gullieuszik et al. (2015) from which we take the ID given in Table 1. For each galaxy we also give the spectroscopic redshift from Moretti et al. (2017), the aperture corrected, total stellar mass (assuming a Salpeter IMF between 0.15 and 120 solar masses) and the average star formation history (SFH) in four age bins (1: 0–20 Myr; 2: 20–600 Myr; 3: 0.6–5.6 Gyr; 4: >5.6 Gyr). The first galaxy presented in Table 1 (HTI) is moving with a line-of-sight velocity of  $480 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  with respect to the cluster centre at a projected distance of 390 kpc, while the second galaxy shows a line-of-sight velocity component of  $140 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  with respect to the cluster centre, significantly lower than the velocity of HTI, and it is located at a projected distance of 440 kpc. In the following, we name the first galaxy of Table 1 (in the first row) HTI and the second galaxy HTII.

### 2.2 Radio data

We obtained H1 and  $L$ -band (1.4 GHz) continuum data with a bandwidth of 31.25 kHz (see Ramatsoku et al. 2019, for further details on the  $L$ -band data reduction) and  $S$ -band (2.7 GHz) full polarization data with a bandwidth of 2 GHz both on a single pointing

**Table 1.** General properties: WINGS ID + [name used through the text], position of the central AGN (head), galaxy redshift, galaxy stellar mass, average star-formation rate in four age intervals given from youngest to oldest, projected maximum jet length observed at 1.4 GHz, and projected cluster-centric distance  $d_{cl}$ .

WINGS ID and name	RA, Dec.	Redshift	$\log(M/M_{\odot})$	SFH ( $M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ )	$d_{jet}$ (kpc)	$d_{cl}$ (kpc)
WINGSJ211406.64+022743 [HTI]	21h14m06.629, +2d27m43.48	0.04699	11.5	[0; 5.9; 3.9; 47]	300	390
WINGSJ211326.68+023123 [HTII]	21h13m26.669, +2d31m23.36	0.04814	11.5	[0; 3.3; 7.8; 43]	560	440

**Table 2.** Resolution, noise level ( $3\sigma$  for total intensity  $I$  and  $4.5\sigma$  for polarized intensity  $PI$ ) of the final data sets measured in a source-free region close to the most distant jet emission at 1.4 and 2.7 GHz.

Name	$I_{1.4}$	$I_{2.7}$	$PI_{2.7}$
HTI	15 arcsec $\times$ 15 arcsec, 130 mJy	15 arcsec $\times$ 15 arcsec, 35 mJy /	4.1 arcsec $\times$ 4.6 arcsec, 20 mJy
HTII	15 arcsec $\times$ 15 arcsec, 130 mJy	15 arcsec $\times$ 15 arcsec, 35 mJy /	4.1 arcsec $\times$ 4.6 arcsec, 33 mJy

in C configuration. The 2.7 GHz data reduction was carried out using the Common Astronomy Software Application (McMullin et al. 2007), flagging was performed to reduce radio frequency interference (RFI) beforehand with AOFLAGGER (Offringa, van de Gronde & Roerdink 2012). We identified additional RFI during calibration, applied manual flagging techniques and re-calibrated the data. Self-calibration phase-only and imaging was performed in MIRIAD (Sault, Teuben & Wright 1995) in an iterative manner. We decreased the solution interval and increased the  $(u, v)$ -range (including shorter spacings) and improved the source masks within the self-calibration process until a 30 s interval was reached including all baselines. Self-calibration was carried out using robust weighting  $-2$  to reach the highest resolution possible (see below), however, the lower resolution images (15 arcsec  $\times$  15 arcsec), shown later on, are finally imaged with a robust weighting 0 (to be comparable to the 1.4 GHz data images with the same weighting), and convolved to the actual 1.4 GHz resolution, which is also the best compromise of resolution and sensitivity in polarized intensity. For a dedicated polarization study, the 2 GHz bandwidth of the 2.7 GHz polarization data were imaged channel-wise in Stokes Q and U. An image cube was created and corrected for Faraday rotation via RM SYNTHESIS (Brentjens & de Bruyn 2005). The highest resolution images (4.1 arcsec  $\times$  4.6 arcsec at 2.7 GHz) were obtained using a multifrequency synthesis clean and a robust weighting of  $-2$  including the whole bandwidth at once on the already self-calibrated data. New source masks were created and another self-calibration process was performed on the cross-calibrated data. We performed a primary beam correction on all images. More details about the 2.7 GHz observation can be found in Müller et al. (2021). High-resolution images are only made for the 2.7 GHz data to study the morphology of the head and tails in more detail later on. Such a high resolution and sensitivity to the jet emission cannot be achieved using the 1.4 GHz data.

In the following, we define the central AGN, referred to as ‘head’, by a beam-sized region centred on the peak flux (the properties are measured therein). The galaxy itself (including the extended jets) is confined by the noise-level ( $3\sigma/4.5\sigma$  in total/polarized intensity) given in Table 2. Therefore, the jet properties are defined by the corresponding noise level from which we subtract the results of the head.

### 2.3 X-ray and optical data

To study the thermal cluster properties, we will use the X-ray observations that we already investigated in Müller et al. (2021). There, we analysed a 10.1 ks archival *Chandra* observation. In order to infer the local density and temperature of the ICM surrounding

JO206, we carried out a de-projected spectral analysis by assuming a spherical symmetry for the system. We refer the reader to the supplementary information of Müller et al. (2021) for further details about the data analysis.


IIZW108 has also a WINGS/OmegaWINGS optical coverage (both photometric and spectroscopic) that we used to infer further galaxy properties. The WINGS survey is generally described in Fasano et al. (2006), and its complete data set is described in Moretti et al. (2014). It includes optical photometry and spectroscopy, as well as its  $u$ -band (Omizzolo et al. 2014) and near-infrared (Valentinuzzi et al. 2009) extensions. The OmegaWINGS photometric survey (Gullieuszik et al. 2015), covers a wider area around the cluster centre (1 deg<sup>2</sup>), with the optical  $V$  and  $B$  photometry with a limiting magnitude of  $V = 23.1$ , and has a corresponding  $u$ -band coverage (D’Onofrio et al. 2020). A combined image of the two HT galaxies in the  $u$ ,  $V$ , and  $B$  filter is shown in Fig. 1. Spectroscopic information is derived by means of AAOmega fibre spectroscopy described in Moretti et al. (2017), which contains redshifts for 597 galaxies in this cluster, of which 185 are considered cluster members. On the blue part of these spectra, we run the SINOPSIS spectrophotometric code (Fritz et al. 2011) deriving the galaxy masses, their spectral type and the SFH for 156 galaxies (Moretti et al., in preparation).

## 3 ANALYSIS


### 3.1 AGN activity and cluster environment in the optical

Based on the optical data, both HT galaxies turned out to be massive [ $\log(M/M_{\odot}) = 11.5$ ], elliptical galaxies with a  $k$ -type spectrum (i.e. showing no emission lines and weak Balmer lines in absorption), with a mass-weighted age of  $\sim 10$  Gyr. No sign of ongoing star formation is present, while most of the stellar mass formed in the oldest age bin, i.e. more than 5.6 Gyr ago. The two optical spectra can be seen in Fig. 2. There is no sign of an ongoing AGN activity (no emission lines), which would leave traces in the optical, though, for a very short time.

In previous substructure analysis for the WINGS sample, the IIZW108 cluster was found to be fairly relaxed having only one optical substructure identified by DEDICA, an adaptive-kernel technique that searches for substructures in two dimensions (Ramella et al. 2007). Substructures within clusters are the product of the hierarchical assembly of clusters that can leave imprints on the ICM and affect the evolution of galaxies. When seen in X-rays (see also Section 3.4), IIZW108 seems mildly elongated without a particularly strong concentration. Such imprints can also be identified by the



**Figure 1.** Radio continuum emission is superimposed in red to RGB images obtained by combining  $V$ -,  $B$ -, and  $u$ -band images from WINGS/OmegaWINGS. HTI and HTII are shown in the left-hand and right-hand panels, respectively. The regions discussed as possible optical sources producing line-of-sight emission are marked with the white squares.



**Figure 2.** AAOmega optical spectra of the two HT galaxies: WINGSJ211406.64+022743.3 [HTI] (top panel) and WINGSJ211326.68+023123.8 [HTII] (bottom panel). The red spectra are Gaussian smoothed with a 3-pixel kernel.


analysis of optical substructures. Fig. 3 shows the position of the HT galaxies in the cluster. The red-filled circles show a small optical substructure near the core of IIZW108 found by a modified (Dressler & Shectman 1988) test detailed in appendix A of Biviano et al. (2017, DS+ method) and the purple-filled circles correspond to a substructure located more in the filament. The ICM is represented by the black contours (X-rays) and the galaxy density is seen as the blue contours (tracing the spectroscopic members: crosses). The galaxy density shows an elongated profile towards the southwest that is also aligned with the slight elongation seen in X-rays and interestingly, also with the BCG major axis. BCGs are believed to grow by accreting galaxies preferentially along the direction of the main cluster filaments as the clusters grow hierarchically, and hence their major axis tends to align with the cluster (e.g. Binggeli 1982; Durret et al. 1998). It is therefore possible that a filament could be feeding the cluster from the south-west, in the direction of HTII, which is likely falling into the cluster for the first time. The radio morphology of HTII supports this idea and suggests the galaxy is moving towards the cluster centre quite radially with a significant velocity component in the plane of the sky (and so is HTI from the south-east).

### 3.2 HTI

#### 3.2.1 Radio continuum and spectral index

HTI is showing two bent jets pointing away from the cluster centre (Fig. 4). Due to the narrow appearance and the unknown inclination of the jets, it is difficult to distinguish between their individual emissions. They appear to have comparable shape and sizes taking the inclination of the systems into account. Both jets appear straight in the plane of the sky and broaden after  $\sim 60$  kpc. Even at 4.6 arcsec major-axis resolution (Fig. 4, top right), the contours along the jets are partially connected. A possible physical origin of this connection can only be studied with higher resolution data, which is therefore postponed to future studies.

At 15 arcsec the two jets are smeared out to form one entity (Fig. 4, top and bottom left). However, the dips in the radio contours along the jet direction still indicate the two different jets highlighted by




**Figure 3.** Location of the HT galaxies with respect to the cluster members (grey Xs) and optical substructure (coloured dots). The BCG is represented by a green ellipse (with ellipticity and position angle taken from HyperLEDA) and the density of members is shown as the blue contour levels. We show the contours of the radio emission of the head–tail galaxies (white), and of the X-ray emission (black). The jellyfish galaxies are indicated by a black star symbol.

the white circular regions tracing the jets individually (the track mask is matched to the total intensity map in Fig. 4, top left). At 1.4 GHz the jets are showing the largest extent up to 300 kpc (Fig. 4, bottom left). The extent is shorter at 2.7 GHz also affected by a background point source, also visible in the optical, at the very distant part of the jet (square region ‘2’ of Fig. 4, top left and Fig. 1, left; see also Appendix A Fig. A1, top right) emitting at this frequency which is less bright at 1.4 GHz. The extent of the radio contour in both frequencies south of the southern jet (corresponding to the blue square marked with a ‘1’ in Fig. 4) can be identified with an optical source and can also be seen in the higher resolution image (top right). At this position, the jet morphology, as well as the source contours, do not show any indication for interaction. The jet emission is broadening with distance from the galaxy head showing additional emission in the southern jet at 1.4 GHz that cannot be seen at 2.7 GHz (compare Fig. 4, top and bottom left). Such morphology can be caused by electrons losing their energy during propagation and are therefore easier tracked at larger distances by lower frequency data (see also spectral index discussion) and is caused by significant ageing of the electrons through the jet.

In addition to the radio continuum images, in Fig 4 we show the spectral index image of HTI. We find a total intensity (head + jets) of  $(87.3 \pm 4.4)$  mJy and  $(36.0 \pm 1.8)$  mJy at 1.4 GHz and 2.7 GHz, respectively, of which  $(6.5 \pm 0.3)$  mJy and  $(4.2 \pm 0.2)$  mJy are generated by the head (corresponding to the white circular region

centred on the peak flux indicated by a grey cross, see Fig. 4), respectively. The jet properties are defined by the outermost black contour corresponding to the noise level reported in Table 2 from which we subtract the head properties. These measures are corrected for the contamination of the additional sources along the line of sight (‘1’ and ‘2’ in Fig. 4). Their contribution has been measured in circular beam-sized regions,  $(0.70 \pm 0.04)$  mJy at 1.4 GHz and  $(0.51 \pm 0.03)$  mJy at 2.7 GHz, and subtracted to the measures. We find a spectral index between 1.4 and 2.7 GHz of  $-0.56 \pm 0.09$  in the galaxy head and a decrease through the jets with a mean slope of  $-0.18 \pm 0.02$  per circle (with the circles being equidistant), neglecting the five most distant regions. An overview of these properties can be found in Table 3.

We measured the observational properties of the jets covering each jet with  $N$  circular regions with a radius compared to the major angular resolutions (see Table 2) to ensure that these are independent regions. The properties of each jet are shown separately in the left-hand and right-hand panels of Fig. 4. The region numbers correspond to the white circular regions (as defined in Fig. 4) starting from the galaxy head. The tracks along the two jets are shown separately. The total intensity shows a head-dominated galaxy with a decreasing flux along the galaxy jets thereafter, but with some exceptions at 1.4 GHz. The first increase in the northern jet can be associated with the broadening of the jets (clearly visible also in Fig. 4, top right) and the second increase at the very end of the northern jet and the 12th region of the southern jet might be caused by the overlap



**Figure 4.** HTI. Continuum results of the 2.7 GHz (top) and 1.4 GHz (bottom) data at a resolution of 15 arcsec  $\times$  15 arcsec if not stated differently. The white circular beam-sized regions are superimposed along the jet to track different properties centred on the central AGN marked by a grey cross. Each 10th region is filled in white to ease the comparison with Fig. 5. Regions discussed as possible optical sources producing line-of-sight emission (‘1’, ‘2’, ‘3’) and plateaus (‘Pn1’, ‘Ps1’) are marked with the blue squares and rectangles, respectively. The beam is shown in grey in the top right corner and lightgrey area contains no information. **Top left:** 2.7 GHz total intensity map with superimposed contour levels of  $\epsilon \times (1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 32, 64, 128, 256)$  with  $\epsilon = 35 \mu\text{Jy beam}^{-1}$ . **Top right:** 2.7 GHz total intensity map with superimposed contour levels of  $\epsilon \times (1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 32, 64, 128, 256)$  with  $\epsilon = 20 \mu\text{Jy beam}^{-1}$  at a resolution of 4.1 arcsec  $\times$  4.6 arcsec. **Bottom left:** 1.4 GHz total intensity map with superimposed contour levels of  $\epsilon \times (1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 32, 64, 128, 256)$  with  $\epsilon = 130 \mu\text{Jy beam}^{-1}$ . **Bottom right:** Spectral index map derived from the 1.4 and 2.7 GHz data.


**Table 3.** Radio properties; name used through the text, total intensity  $I$  at 1.4 and 2.7 GHz, mean spectral index ( $\alpha$ ) and mean slope along, polarized intensity  $PI$ , and fractional polarization  $FP$  measured at 2.7 GHz separated for the head and jet, respectively.

Name	Head					Jet				
	$I_{1.4}$ (mJy)	$I_{2.7}$ (mJy)	$\alpha$	$PI$ (mJy)	$FP$ (per cent)	$I_{1.4}$ (mJy)	$I_{2.7}$ (mJy)	$\Delta\alpha/\Delta d$	$PI$ (mJy)	$FP$ (per cent)
HTI	$6.5 \pm 0.3$	$4.2 \pm 0.2$	$-0.56 \pm 0.09$	$0.52 \pm 0.03$	$12.4 \pm 4.8$	$80.8 \pm 4.1$	$31.8 \pm 1.6$	$-0.18 \pm 0.02$	$4.2 \pm 0.2$	$14.0 \pm 5.7$
HTII	$10.4 \pm 0.5$	$9.1 \pm 0.5$	$-0.17 \pm 0.09$	$0.39 \pm 0.02$	$4.3 \pm 5.5$	$599 \pm 30.0$	$294 \pm 15$	$-0.073 \pm 0.006$	$34.0 \pm 1.7$	$10.6 \pm 5.2$

of both jets. The spectral index is steadily steepening through the jet with the head being flat ( $\alpha = -0.56$ ) and the most distant jet region showing very steep values up to  $-3.0$ . In the northern jet, it is steepening slowly until the region marked by ‘Pn1’, where we identify a plateau, here, the spectral index is found to be steady, and steepens rapidly thereafter. The same behaviour can be seen in the southern jet (see ‘Ps1’). The spectral index flattening at the end of the jet is consistent with the idea that source ‘2’ is a background compact radio source with flat spectrum (the contaminated values are highlighted with a grey-shaded area in Fig. 5).

### 3.2.2 Linear polarized radio emission and fraction


Fig. 6 shows the polarization, fraction, RM, and ordered magnetic field lines images of HTI at the same resolution as in total intensity from left to right and top to bottom, respectively. With the field of view in polarized intensity being reduced (due to the channel-wise imaging in which we use the smallest common field of view), the galaxy jets are located very close to the primary beam edges (left-hand side in Fig. 6, top left) such that the faintest, most distant part becomes more affected by the increasing noise at the primary beam edges. Again, because we cannot distinguish the two different jets, we



**Figure 5.** HTI. Tracks of different observables along the galaxy jets with higher region numbers correspond to larger distances to the head. The region number refer to the maps with a resolution of  $15 \text{ arcsec} \times 15 \text{ arcsec}$ , the higher resolution regions are converted to that scale by taking the physical distances into account. For comparison, the head property is shown in each graph (1st region). **Left-hand panels:** Northern tail. **Right-hand panels:** Southern tail. The values within the grey area are expected to be contaminated by a background source.

used the same circular apertures corresponding to the northern and southern jet as for the total intensity. Three significant differences in morphology can be observed with respect to the total intensity images (Fig. 4): 1) the northern jet is lacking some emission (probably due to the noise-cut) within ‘Pn1’, 2) the jet becomes more clumpy

with distance resulting in two substructures that cannot be identified with optical counterparts (one at the blue square ‘Ps1’ and the other corresponds to ‘3’, see Fig. 6), and 3) no significant jet emission can be identified for the southern jet after ‘Ps1’, while the northern jet is affected by the previously mentioned substructure. The total



**Figure 6.** HTI. Polarization results of the 2.7 GHz data at a resolution of  $15 \text{ arcsec} \times 15 \text{ arcsec}$ . The white circular beam-sized regions are superimposed along the jet to track different properties centred on the central AGN marked by a grey cross. Each 10th region is filled in white to ease the comparison with Fig. 5. Regions discussed as possible optical sources producing line-of-sight emission (‘1’, ‘2’, ‘3’) and plateaus (‘Pn1’, ‘Ps1’) are marked with the blue squares and rectangles, respectively. The beam is shown in grey in the top right corner and lightgrey area contains no information. **Top left:** Polarized intensity map with superimposed contour levels of bias  $+\epsilon \times (1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 32, 64, 128, 256)$  with bias =  $12 \mu\text{Jy beam}^{-1}$  and  $\epsilon = 18 \mu\text{Jy beam}^{-1}$ . **Top right:** Fractional polarization map. **Bottom left:** RM map. **Bottom right:** Magnetic fields vectors weighted by  $0.5 \times (\text{fractional polarization})$  on top of polarized intensity.

length of the jets is only 15 kpc shorter than in total intensity. The difference in length can either be caused by the increased noise level at the primary beam edge, which makes the detection of diffuse faint emission more challenging or more probably by the non-detection of the background source (see ‘1’ blue square in Fig. 6, top left).

We find the polarized intensity of HTI to reach  $4.98 \pm 0.25 \text{ mJy}$  from which  $0.52 \pm 0.03 \text{ mJy}$  are generated by the head of the galaxy (see also Table 3 for the individual head and jet properties). The galaxy shows a fractional polarization ( $IPI$  at 2.7 GHz) of  $12.4 \pm 4.8$  per cent and  $14.0 \pm 5.7$  per cent at the head and jet, respectively. We find the RM to be mostly positive with an r.m.s of  $\sim 23 \text{ rad m}^{-2}$ . The ordered magnetic field component is shown in Fig. 6 (bottom right) with the magnetic field lines shown in green, their length being proportional to the fractional polarization. We find the magnetic field lines to align with the jet direction showing only small deviations at a distance of 60 kpc (within ‘Pn1’) from the head and clearly aligns again with the jet thereafter.

In the middle and bottom panels of Fig. 5, the tracks along the polarization observable are shown (corresponding to the white circular regions superimposed in Fig. 6) with the left-hand panels corresponding to the northern and the right ones to the southern jet. The total intensity track at 2.7 GHz is shown for comparison. Gen-

erally, the total and polarized intensity decrease while the fractional polarization increases with distance to the galaxy head visible in both jets. In the southern jet the fractional polarization increases and decreases between the first 7 regions by about 7 per cent. We identify a fractional polarization plateau at 12 per cent within region ‘Pn1’. Thereafter, the fractional polarization increases very fast reaching non-physically high values of 90 per cent at the 12th region. Here, we already identified a substructure in the jet that cannot be observed in total intensity. Generally, such values can be explained by the fact that the polarized emission is recovered on smaller scales and therefore track more diffuse emission than detected in total intensity. This phenomenon is based on the ‘rotation’ of the polarized emission that we can recover using RM synthesis (see Section 7.2 in de Bruyn & Brentjens 2005). The RM is found to be purely positive (bottom left-hand panel of Fig. 5) indicating a magnetic field pointing towards the observer. It increases within the first five regions, it drops quickly and is almost steady between the 7th and 10th region. A steep increase can be observed thereafter, again corresponding to the clumpy substructure seen in polarized intensity (see blue square marked with ‘3’ in Fig. 6). However, while the RM maps are corrected for the Galactic foreground (see methods in Müller et al. (2021)) we did not separate the signal of the ICM from



the intrinsic contribution by the galaxy and therefore only report on their combined characteristics.

In the southern jet, the fractional polarization reaches its highest value of 70 per cent at region 16. The final steep decrease is likely due to the already identified possible background source ('1' blue square in Figs 4 and 6). The RM (Fig. 5, bottom right) is found to be almost steady within the first six regions and decreases to the 11th region reaching about  $-18 \text{ rad m}^{-2}$  corresponding to a magnetic field that is pointing in the opposite direction, away from the observer. It increases quickly and drops to the 16th region where the fractional polarization is found to reach its maximum. As mentioned before, the southern jet is sparsely detected between regions 11 and 15 and is therefore noise dominated. Substructures within these regions are therefore neglected in the discussion.

### 3.3 HTII

#### 3.3.1 Radio continuum and spectral index

We show the radio continuum images at 2.7 GHz in two different resolutions, at 1.4 GHz, and the spectral index map derived between both frequencies in Fig. 7. HTII is showing two bent jets pointing away from the cluster centre, in the direction of the main cluster axis, as indicated by the elongation of the BCG, the cluster galaxy distribution, and the X-ray surface brightness. The jets can be easily distinguished (white circular regions) at both resolutions (Fig. 7, top left and right). They are comparable in size and shape and their structure seems to become more complex at a distance of  $\sim 120 \text{ kpc}$ . In the top right-hand panel of Fig. 7, the jets seem to broaden when they change direction (starting from the 20th white circular region superimposed on the emission) and weaken thereafter but at the 50th circular region both jets broaden again. One can assume that the jets continue at a different location in the plane of the sky, where we see the weakened tail to be broadened again. Several substructures (clumps) can be seen in the higher resolution jet that can partly also be identified in the lower resolution maps (Fig. 7, top and bottom left), which is an indication for a more complex scenario in comparison to HTI.

At 1.4 GHz and 15 arcsec resolution the southern jet shows a projected extent of  $\sim 560 \text{ kpc}$  (unfolding the change of direction, see Fig. 7, bottom left). At 1.4 GHz, the second part of the jet (15th circular region in the northern and 13th region in the southern jet, compare Fig. 7 bottom with top left) is found to be significantly broader than at 2.7 GHz. They show comparable substructures along the jets in both frequencies. These structures cannot be associated with detected optical counterparts (Fig. 1, top right). However, the brightest point-like emission in the northern jet (marked with the '1' blue square in Fig. 7) is probably caused by a background source (see Appendix A right-hand panel of Fig. A1) increasing the jet emission due to the line-of-sight integration.

HTII is reaching a total intensity (head + jets, for definition see Section 2) of  $303.3 \pm 15.2 \text{ mJy}$  at 2.7 GHz and  $609.0 \pm 30.5 \text{ mJy}$  at 1.4 GHz with the head contributing by  $(9.1 \pm 0.5)$  and  $(10.4 \pm 0.5) \text{ mJy}$ , respectively. The 2.7 GHz flux is corrected for the contribution of two point sources, one east to the 10th and the other east to the 25th white circular region (Fig. 7, top left). The spectrum at the head is found to be rather flat (spectral index of  $-0.17 \pm 0.09$ ) and the spectral index is found to be decreasing through the jets with a per-circle mean slope of  $-0.073 \pm 0.006$ , neglecting the two most distant regions in the southern tail.

Fig. 8 (top panels with the left one corresponding to the northern jet and the right one to the southern one) presents the total intensity


at different frequencies and the spectral index along the jets corresponding to the white circular regions in Fig. 7. While the head is found to be the brightest region in the higher resolution data, we find a substructure next to the head that is brightest in both frequencies at lower resolution. Such an increase in total intensity is probably caused by a recollimation shock (Perucho & Martí 2007), which follows after the jet pressure becomes lower than the pressure of the ambient medium. Thereafter, the total intensity is generally decreasing except for the final part of the jets. While the increase in the northern tail can be associated with a possible background source detected in both frequencies, the southern tail can be affected by the low signal-to-noise ratio at 2.7 GHz. The spectral index is generally decreasing through the jets with the head being quite flat while the northern jet shows a steepening to  $-2.5$  and the southern one to  $-3.5$ . The increase of the spectral index in the most distant jet regions, as well as the first plateaus (regions 'Pn1', 'Ps1'), can be traced back to the before mentioned reasons. However, in the northern tail (region 'Pn2') and also partly in the southern tail (region 'Ps2') another plateau can be identified that can possibly be traced back to a change in motion (see Section 4.2). Moreover, a third plateau can be identified in the northern tail (region 'Pn3') or a kind of disruption in the decreasing spectral index in the southern tail (circular regions after the black line in Fig. 7). Possible scenarios will be discussed in Section 4.3.

#### 3.3.2 Linear polarized radio emission and fraction

In Fig. 9, we show the images of the polarization observables of HTII at 2.7 GHz. Both, the head and jets of HTII (top left), show a comparable morphology in polarized and total intensity. It is noticeable that, even though the noise cut is chosen with care ( $4.5\sigma$  of a source-free region close to the most extended jets, see Table 2) with respect to the most distant jet emission, the last dip of the northern jet is highly affected by the increased noise at the image edges (see Fig. 9, top left). Here, we again see important differences in morphology: (1) Within the first 120 kpc of the jet several clumps are detected that cannot be associated with the substructures in total intensity, (2) even though the clumps thereafter can be seen also in total intensity here they show a broader extent, and (3) the northern jet is divided into two (region 'Pn3', possibly due to the noise cut). The polarized emission of the southern jet of HTII is 75 kpc less extended than its counterpart in total intensity. Here, again this might either be caused by the increased noise level at the edges or the substructures seen in the most distant southern jet part (31st–35th circular region in Fig. 7, top left) in total intensity might not be a part of the galaxy jet emission.

HTII has a polarized intensity of  $0.39 \pm 0.02 \text{ mJy}$  in the head and  $34.0 \pm 1.7 \text{ mJy}$  in the jet resulting in a fractional polarization of  $4.3 \pm 5.5$  per cent and  $10.6 \pm 5.2$  per cent, respectively. We find the RM to change its direction towards and away from the observer more than once but being mostly positive (i.e. the large-scale magnetic field points towards the observer) resulting in an r.m.s. of  $\sim 28 \text{ rad m}^{-2}$ . The ordered magnetic field (presented by the green lines in the bottom right-hand panel of Fig. 9 weighted by the fractional polarization) is found to be complex within the first 120 kpc. It partly aligns with the jet direction but otherwise shows orthogonal direction. Some directional changes correspond to regions where the jet changes its direction in the plane of the sky. The more distant jet shows a clear alignment with the jet direction.


The quantitative analysis of the polarization properties along the northern and southern jet can be found in Fig. 8 (middle



**Figure 7.** HTII. Continuum results of the 2.7 GHz (top) and 1.4 GHz (bottom) data at a resolution of  $15 \text{ arcsec} \times 15 \text{ arcsec}$  if not stated differently. The white circular beam-sized regions are superimposed along the jet to track different properties centred on the central AGN marked by a grey cross. Each 10th region is filled in white to ease the comparison with Fig. 8. Regions discussed as possible optical sources producing line-of-sight emission (‘1’ and plateaus (‘Pn1’, ‘Ps1’, ‘Pn2’, ‘Ps2’, ‘Pn3’) are marked with the blue squares and rectangles, respectively. The beam is shown in grey in the bottom left corner and lightgrey area contains no information. **Top left:** 2.7 GHz total intensity map with superimposed contour levels of  $\epsilon \times (1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 32, 64, 128, 256)$  with  $\epsilon = 35 \mu\text{Jy beam}^{-1}$ . **Top right:** 2.7 GHz total intensity map with superimposed contour levels of  $\epsilon \times (1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 32, 64, 128, 256)$  with  $\epsilon = 33 \mu\text{Jy beam}^{-1}$  at a resolution of  $4.1 \text{ arcsec} \times 4.6 \text{ arcsec}$ . **Bottom left:** 1.4 GHz total intensity map with superimposed contour levels of  $\epsilon \times (1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 32, 64, 128, 256)$  with  $\epsilon = 130 \mu\text{Jy beam}^{-1}$ . **Bottom right:** Spectral index map derived from the 1.4 and 2.7 GHz data.

and bottom left-hand and right-hand panels, respectively corresponding to the white circular regions shown in Fig. 9). Overall, the polarized intensity decreases with distance from the head and the fractional polarization is increasing, but a lot of sub-structures are found through the tracks. The radio continuum jet appears to be smooth while the jet in polarized intensity shows


a clumpy structure that could be caused by depolarization effects. Only slight changes in structure at  $8.7 \text{ arcsec}$  (see Appendix B Fig. B1) can be detected, however, higher resolution data are needed to test whether the clumpy structure is purely physical or not since depolarization effects can occur on much smaller scales.



**Figure 8.** HTII. Tracks of different observables along the galaxy jets with higher region numbers corresponding to larger distances to the head. The region number refer to the maps with a resolution of  $15 \text{ arcsec} \times 15 \text{ arcsec}$ , the higher resolution regions are converted to that scale by taking the physical distances into account. For comparison, the head property is shown in each graph (1st region). **Left-hand panels:** Northern tail. The values within the grey area are expected to be contaminated by a background source. **Right-hand panels:** Southern tail. Values within the grey area might not belong to the galaxy jet or can be noise dominated.

In the northern jet, we find three peaks arising within the first 9 regions corresponding to substructures resolved in the higher resolution total intensity track. These peaks show a comparable width reaching a brightness much higher than the galaxy head.


These structures are identified as rapid increases in fractional polarization. We find the fractional polarization to be almost steady within region ‘Pn1’ and ‘Pn2’ while it is generally steepening in between, increasing up to 40 per cent at region 23. Then,



**Figure 9.** HTII. Polarization results of the 2.7 GHz data at a resolution of  $15 \text{ arcsec} \times 15 \text{ arcsec}$ . The white circular beam-sized regions are superimposed along the jet to track different properties centred on the central AGN marked by a grey cross. Each 10th region is filled in white to ease the comparison with Fig. 5. Regions discussed as possible optical sources producing line-of-sight emission (‘1’) and plateaus (‘Pn1’, ‘Ps1’, ‘Pn2’, ‘Ps2’, ‘Pn3’) are marked with the blue squares and rectangles, respectively. The beam is shown in grey in the top right corner and lightgrey area contains no information. **Top left:** Polarized intensity map with superimposed contour levels of bias  $+\epsilon \times (1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 32, 64, 128, 256)$  with bias =  $12 \mu\text{Jy beam}^{-1}$  and  $\epsilon = 45 \mu\text{Jy beam}^{-1}$ . **Top right:** Fractional polarization map. **Bottom left:** RM map. **Bottom right:** Magnetic fields vectors weighted by  $0.5 \times (\text{fractional polarization})$  on top of polarized intensity.

we find the fractional polarization to decrease again being consistent with the substructure that we already identified in the total intensity map. The RM is found to vary around  $25 \text{ rad m}^{-2}$  within the first 15 regions showing a broader substructure at a distance where we find the first two polarization peaks. It decreases thereafter showing a significant magnetic field component pointing away from the observer ( $-25 \text{ rad m}^{-2}$ ). We find the RM increasing at a location where the fractional polarization is decreasing.

Within the first 12 regions, the polarized intensity in the southern jet is peaking four times showing again a very bright emission with respect to the head, which again results in increased fractional polarization. This peaky substructure continues along the whole jet but with less intensity such that the fractional polarization generally begin to increase from about 10 per cent in region 16–75 per cent in region 30, which also corresponds to a very steep spectral index. The RM is found to be almost positive, increasing between the 3rd and 19th region up to  $90 \text{ rad m}^{-2}$  and decreasing thereafter to  $0 \text{ rad m}^{-2}$ .



**Figure 10.** *Chandra* image of the cluster in the (0.5–2.0) keV band smoothed with a 2.5 arcsec Gaussian. We show the contours of the radio emission of the head–tail galaxies (white) and the annuli used for the projected (cyan) and de-projected (green) spectral analysis presented in Müller et al. (2021, supplementary information).

### 3.4 X-ray properties

We aim to use the *Chandra* observation to study the HT environment by analysing the ICM at the location of the two galaxies individually. In Fig. 10, we show the annuli that were used to investigate the X-ray properties of the cluster at the position of the jellyfish galaxy JO206 (Müller et al. 2021) as well as the total power contours of the HT galaxies with respect to the *Chandra* mosaic. Despite the low photon statistics of the observation, we were able to successfully fit the de-projected electron density with a  $\beta$ -model profile (Cavaliere & Fusco-Femiano 1976):

$$n_e(r) = n_0 \left[ 1 + \left( \frac{r}{r_c} \right)^2 \right]^{-3\beta/2}, \quad (1)$$

where  $n_0 = 2.61 \times 10^{-3} \text{ cm}^{-3}$  is the central density,  $r_c = 112.14 \text{ arcsec}$  is the core radius, and  $\beta = 0.44$  describes the ratio between thermal and gravitational energy of the plasma.

Here, we extend these results to extrapolate the thermal properties of the ICM surrounding the HT galaxies. Because the galaxies are actually outside the CCD, we can only infer the ICM properties from the de-projected spectral analysis, which was carried out under the assumption of spherical symmetry of the northern part of the cluster, and from the best-fitting  $\beta$ -model profile. However, we note that the cluster appears to be slightly asymmetric, with a putative overdensity towards south-west in the direction of HTII (optically identified filament, Section 3.1), thus the assumption of spherical symmetry could not hold for the southern part of the cluster at the

galaxies' cluster-centric distances. Unfortunately, the current data are too shallow to carry out a more reliable analysis, hence we stress that the results presented here should be considered approximate for the following estimates.

Considering the projected distance of the HT galaxies to the cluster centre (Table 1), the  $\beta$ -model yields electron densities,  $n_e$ , of  $4.6 \times 10^{-4}$  and  $3.9 \times 10^{-4} \text{ cm}^{-3}$  at the head of HTI and HTII, respectively. In Table 4, further cluster ICM properties with respect to the galaxies head can be found. The de-projected temperatures are measured within the green annuli (Fig. 10) with the second outermost corresponding to HTI and the outermost to HTII, the gas pressure via  $P = 1.83 n_e kT$ , the gas density  $\rho = 1.9 \mu n_e m_H$  with the mean molecular weight  $\mu \simeq 0.61$  and the proton rest mass  $m_H$ , and the speed of sound  $c_s = \sqrt{\gamma P / \rho}$ , where  $\gamma = 5/3$  is the adiabatic index for a mono-atomic, thermal gas. Both HT galaxies have small line-of-sight velocities with respect to the cluster. However, we can see a strong in-plane component so that we assume the galaxy velocity to be equal to the three-dimensional cluster velocity dispersion (Table 1). This assumption is used to calculate the sonic Mach numbers  $\mathcal{M}_s$  presented in Table 4. Both galaxies are found to move supersonically through the ICM ( $\mathcal{M}_s > 1$ ).

## 4 DISCUSSION

The observational findings suggest the following picture. HTI and HTII can be classified as standard NATs, experiencing jet bending by ram pressure as a result of the fast motion of the radio galaxies in

**Table 4.** Thermodynamical properties of the ICM estimated by extrapolating the de-projected spectral analysis presented in Müller et al. (2021) at the galaxy locations: name used through the text, electron density, temperature, pressure, density, speed of sound, and sonic Mach number.

Name	$n_e$ (cm $^{-3}$ )	$k_B T$ (keV)	$P$ (erg cm $^{-3}$ )	$\rho$ (g cm $^{-3}$ )	$c_s$ (km s $^{-1}$ )	$\mathcal{M}_s$
HTI	$4.6 \times 10^{-4}$	3.2	$4.3 \times 10^{-12}$	$8.9 \times 10^{-28}$	898	1.1
HTII	$3.9 \times 10^{-4}$	2.0	$2.3 \times 10^{-12}$	$7.5 \times 10^{-28}$	710	1.4

the ICM potential (Section 4.1). The plateau regions in the spectral index and slower decrease of total and polarized intensity suggest a transition of the gas flow from laminar to turbulent motions, which is accompanied by a re-energizing process of the electrons along the jet in HTI and HTII (Section 4.2). While this explains the entire appearance of the jets of HTI the morphology of HTII calls for an additional explanation: we propose two epochs of AGN jet outbursts where the recent AGN activity connects to the earlier outburst via the spectral index plateaus seen in both tails and steeply declines in the spectral index along the jets towards larger distances (Section 4.3).

#### 4.1 Bent head–tail morphology

Both galaxies show the brightest emission at their heads, as expected for FRI galaxies. They most probably fall into the cluster for the first time as indicated by the cluster filaments. Assuming that they move with velocities of order 1000 km s $^{-1}$  with respect to the cluster centre (corresponding to the cluster three-dimensional velocity dispersion) and face ambient ICM densities of about  $4 \times 10^{-4}$  cm $^{-3}$  we find both galaxies to be consistent with the findings for typical NATs (Venkatesan et al. 1994). At the galaxy jets, we find a dip in total intensity (fast decrease and increase) within the first six analysis regions. Such a behaviour is also found in other HT galaxies (e.g. Srivastava & Singal 2020) and FRI sources (e.g. Hada et al. 2018) and follows as a direct consequence of a recollimation shock (Perucho & Martí 2007), which occurs in response to the expansion of the jets as they encounter a larger ambient ISM pressure.

We generally find the spectral index to steepen and the fractional polarization to increase with larger distance to the galaxy heads, which resembles other HT galaxies (e.g. de Gasperin et al. 2017; Srivastava & Singal 2020). Their ordered magnetic field component is aligned with the jet (and follows the bent jet morphology). Several substructures can be identified as plateaus for HTI and two for HTII in the spectral index tracks along the jets that will be addressed below. The increase in the spectral index map for HTI and the resulting decrease in fractional polarization is the result of the line-of-sight integration of the galaxy jet emission and a point source located at the very end of the jet. In case of HTII, another background source causes a comparable effect in the northern jet, while the fragmentation of the southern tail at 2.7 GHz is causing the same behaviour possibly due to a bad signal-to-noise ratio.

The galaxies experience a ram pressure of  $8.9 \times 10^{-12}$  g cm $^{-1}$  s $^{-2}$  (HTI) and  $7.5 \times 10^{-12}$  g cm $^{-1}$  s $^{-2}$  (HTII), causing the bending of the galaxy jets in the opposite direction of the cluster centre. Using the geometry of the HT radio galaxies, we can estimate the jet quantities and denote the mass density, velocity, and radius of the jet by  $\rho_{\text{jet}}$ ,  $v_{\text{jet}}$ , and  $r_{\text{jet}}$ , respectively. Initially, the two jets emerging from the supermassive black hole are assumed to be a cylinder of length  $l_{\text{jet}}$ . The ram pressure wind of mass density  $\rho_{\text{ICM}}$  and velocity  $v$  causes each jet to bent over a bending radius  $r_b$ . Equating the jet momentum  $\rho_{\text{jet}} v_{\text{jet}} \pi r_{\text{jet}}^2 l_{\text{jet}}$  with the transverse force due to the ram pressure wind that operates on a jet propagation time-scale (along the bended path in steady state),  $\rho_{\text{ICM}} v^2 2 r_{\text{jet}} l_{\text{jet}} \pi r_b / (2 v_{\text{jet}})$ , we obtain (Pfrommer &

Jones 2011)

$$\rho_{\text{ICM}} v^2 \frac{\pi r_b}{2 v_{\text{jet}}} = \rho_{\text{jet}} v_{\text{jet}} r_{\text{jet}} \frac{\pi}{2}. \quad (2)$$

Hence, the background-to-jet density ratio can be estimated as

$$x_{\text{jet}}^{-1} \equiv \frac{\rho_{\text{ICM}}}{\rho_{\text{jet}}} = \frac{r_{\text{jet}}}{r_b} \left( \frac{v_{\text{jet}}}{v} \right)^2 \sim 0.067 \times \left( \frac{0.7c}{10^3 \text{ km s}^{-1}} \right)^2 \sim 3000, \quad (3)$$

where  $r_{\text{jet}} \approx 1$  kpc is inferred from VLBI observations of nearby HTs and corresponds to the width of the tail at the bending radius  $r_b$  for a resolution of 1 arcsec (at a distance of 200 Mpc),  $r_b \approx 15$  kpc is the estimated curvature radius of the northern tail of HTII (estimated within the first six white circular regions in the high-resolution image shown in the top right-hand panel of Fig. 7), and  $v_{\text{jet}} \approx 0.7c$  (Laing et al. 1999).

Entrainment of ambient ICM into the jet via Kelvin–Helmholtz instabilities increases  $\rho_{\text{jet}}$  with distance to the galaxy head, and we adopt the following two cases: (i)  $\rho_{\text{jet}} \sim 0.01 \rho_{\text{ICM}}$  (entrainment was at work and reduced the jet density by a factor of 30, which we consider a realistic case) and (ii)  $\rho_{\text{jet}} \sim 0.1 \rho_{\text{ICM}}$  (a very effective entrainment).

#### 4.2 Turbulence in AGN jets

As a next step, we explore whether a transition from laminar to turbulent flow can explain the fanning out of the high-resolution 2.7 GHz total intensity maps in the top right-hand panels in Figs 4 and 7. In particular the winding morphology of both jets of HTII after the 20th high-resolution region (top right-hand panel in Fig. 7) are reminiscent of a firehose instability that first grows linearly and develops into a non-linear turbulent state. The transition to turbulence in a pipe flow takes place at a critical Reynolds number around (Eckhardt 2009)

$$\text{Re} \sim \frac{L}{\lambda_{\text{eff}}} \frac{v}{v_{\text{th}}} \gtrsim \text{Re}_{\text{crit}} \approx 2300, \quad (4)$$

where  $L$  corresponds to the width of the jet,  $\lambda_{\text{eff}}$  is the effective mean free path,  $v$  is the velocity of the jet, and  $v_{\text{th}}$  the thermal velocity of the particles in the jet. At the 20th region of the high-resolution 2.7 GHz total intensity map (Fig. 7, top right), we infer  $L \approx 24$  kpc (30 kpc) for the southern (northern) jet of HTII. While we aim to investigate a general picture, in the following we adopt numerical values specific to HTII and find them to be generally also valid for HTI. Adopting  $v = 0.7c$  and assuming that the jet is in pressure equilibrium with the ICM, we obtain

$$v_{\text{th}} = \sqrt{2k_B T / m_p} = \sqrt{2P_{\text{ICM}} / \rho_{\text{jet}}} \quad (5)$$

so that we can estimate the effective mean free path

$$\lambda_{\text{eff}} \lesssim \frac{L}{\text{Re}_{\text{crit}}} \frac{v}{v_{\text{th}}} \sim 0.3 \text{ kpc}, \quad (6)$$

for  $x_{\text{jet}} \equiv \rho_{\text{jet}}/\rho_{\text{ICM}} = 0.01$  and  $\lambda_{\text{eff}} \lesssim 1$  kpc for  $x_{\text{jet}} = 0.1$ . By contrast, the standard *Spitzer* mean free path is given by

$$\lambda_{\text{mfp,Spitzer}} \sim 400 \left( \frac{n_{\text{jet}}}{7.5 \times 10^{-6} \text{ cm}^{-3}} \right)^{-1} \left( \frac{k_{\text{B}} T_{\text{jet}}}{170 \text{ keV}} \right)^2 \text{ Mpc}, \quad (7)$$

where  $n_{\text{jet}}$  and  $T_{\text{jet}}$  are the density and the temperature for our jets with  $x_{\text{jet}} = 0.01$ , more than  $10^6$  times larger than  $\lambda_{\text{eff}}$ . Even if we adopted  $x_{\text{jet}} = 0.1$ , we find  $\lambda_{\text{mfp,Spitzer}} \sim 450$  kpc, which is also significantly larger than the corresponding effective mean free path.

Thus, we find in both cases that turbulent magnetic fields or plasma instabilities are essential to reduce the *Spitzer* mean free path by three to six orders of magnitude so that the flow can transition to turbulence over the travel length of the jet. We emphasize that such compressible turbulence is required in order to re-energize electrons and hence to (partially) balance the strong radiative cooling losses along the jet and to explain the (i) spectral index plateaus and (ii) larger jet lengths in comparison to the combined (synchrotron and inverse Compton) cooling length (see Section 4.4). Turbulent re-acceleration via wave-particle energy exchange by means of transit time damping (Brunetti & Lazarian 2007, 2011; Miniati 2015; Pinzke, Oh & Pfrommer 2017) is preferred over diffusive shock acceleration at medium to strong internal jet shocks, which would instantaneously flatten the radio spectral index to  $-0.5$  while cooling would cause the spectrum to steepen afterwards, which is not observed. While those works apply the process of turbulent re-acceleration to radio haloes, we adopt the same concept for the extended radio emission along the faint radio tails, similarly to the finding by de Gasperin et al. (2017). Indeed, we observe a gentle re-acceleration process that is able to temporarily balance cooling, a natural characteristic of stochastic second-order *Fermi* (*Fermi*-II) acceleration.

We note that this strong case for magnetic or weakly collisional plasma effects to extend the turbulent cascade to much smaller scales in comparison to the *Spitzer* value is complementary to the inference of a smaller mean free path in the bulk of the ICM by analysing surface density fluctuations in deep *Chandra* observation of the coma cluster (Zhuravleva et al. 2019) and velocity structure functions of  $\text{H}\alpha$  filaments in three nearby galaxy clusters (Li et al. 2020; Wang et al. 2021).

### 4.3 Does the complex HTII morphology reveal two AGN outbursts or a previous shock passage?

In HTII, we observe a continuous decrease of the total intensity starting from the head in the top panels of Fig. 8 until region 15, after which the radio emission is maintained at a rather constant level. Associated with this finding are spectral index plateaus in the further evolution of the jets (Fig. 7, region ‘Pn3’ for the northern tail and subsequent regions after black line for the southern tail) and an increasing fractional polarization with the magnetic field vectors primarily aligned with the bent jets. Taken together, this suggests a physical mechanism, such as *Fermi*-II acceleration that balances cooling and is able to re-accelerate electrons, which effectively off-sets/slow down electron ageing and maintains the electron spectral index in the radio-emitting energy band with Lorentz factor  $10^3$ – $10^4$  (e.g. fig. 7 of Winner et al. 2019).

In particular, we observe a minimum of the total radio intensity around high-resolution region 50 (top right-hand panel of Fig. 7) after which the emission is getting stronger and shows a more turbulent morphology in line with being gently re-energized by interacting with compressible magnetic modes. Thereafter, the electrons quickly age (lose their energy), which could be interpreted as an earlier AGN outburst of those pairs of AGN jets. The change in morphology

observable in both jets can be the result of two AGN outbursts, with the in-active jets are dislocated (behind the active jets) due to the ram-pressure the jets are facing. There is a weak bridge emission connecting these inactive lobes with the active jets. This suggests that the AGN jet is never completely off for a long time but rather varies in jet luminosity as it passes through a period of low luminosity between the two strong AGN jet outbursts. This would be consistent with the high AGN jet duty cycles found in a complete sample of radio-mode feedback in cool core clusters (Bîrzan et al. 2012).

There is an alternative interpretation for our findings: the passage of an ICM shock across the NAT could give rise to shock compression of cosmic ray electrons and the jet magnetic field. In particular, shock-induced vertical motions in the tails can drive coherent turbulent dynamo processes that amplify the magnetic fields significantly after initial shock compression (O’Neill et al. 2019b), which could possibly explain the turbulent morphology seen towards the late-time jet tail structures. In fact, synthetic radio observations of three-dimensional magnetohydrodynamics (MHD) jet simulations reveal an extended time period after the formation of the NAT in which it displays a nearly steady-state morphology and has approximately constant integrated fluxes and a self-similar, curved integrated spectrum (O’Neill et al. 2019a), not unlike what we observe in our active jet region. After the passage of an ICM shock across the NAT, the shocked radio emission is a function of the Mach number, which implies strong variations in intensity, spectral, and polarization properties throughout the shocked tail. In particular, the radio emission can be rejuvenated due to shock-compression and magnetic field amplification as a result of a small-scale magnetic dynamo (Nolting et al. 2019b; O’Neill et al. 2019b).

However, if the shock were still interacting with the tails, then those regions overrun by the shock should show clear morphological differences in total intensity and as well as in the spectral index (see fig. 7 of O’Neill et al. 2019b) for which we have no evidence in Fig. 7. If the shock had already passed the tails the result depends on the geometry: (i) if the shock propagated perpendicular to the tails, then it would have first re-accelerated one tail and then the other so that the first tail would have had time to cool and therefore should show a steeper spectral index in comparison to the second tail (see figs 8, 11, and 14 of O’Neill et al. 2019b), which is inconsistent with our spectral index findings in Fig. 7; and (ii) if the shock propagated along the tail, then it would have produced a torus (Jones et al. 2017; Nolting et al. 2019a), which is also inconsistent with our observed morphology and thus renders these shock interaction scenarios implausible. Moreover, the current *Chandra* observation does not allow to properly investigate the presence of shocks in the ICM in correspondence of the radio tails. Deeper X-ray observations are needed to further test this scenario.

Thus, this comparison points to a scenario with a varying jet luminosity and two strong outbursts. In particular, the interaction of the NAT with a turbulent ICM (Heinz et al. 2006; Ehlert et al. 2021) can explain the complex morphology of HTII, possibly also aided by magnetic draping of ICM magnetic fields across these jet lobes (Lyutikov 2006; Ruszkowski et al. 2007; Dursi & Pfrommer 2008; Ehlert et al. 2018), which could explain part of the strongly coherent magnetic field structures seen in radio polarization (Pfrommer & Dursi 2010).

### 4.4 Electron cooling length

The estimate of the electron cooling length along the AGN jets is complicated by the uncertainty of the transport velocity of the radio-emitting relativistic electrons. Initially, the jet transports the electrons

close to relativistic speeds. Entrainment processes as a result of Kelvin–Helmholtz instabilities at the jet boundaries and magnetic re-connection of jet and ICM magnetic field slow the jet down and may cause a larger hadronic composition, which is characteristic of FRI jets (Croston, Ineson & Hardcastle 2018). Once the jet fades out, it will eventually asymptotically approach the ICM velocity (in addition to buoyancy effects that can be neglected for HT galaxies). Hence, we concentrate on the HTII system because it shows two possible jet outbursts (see Section 4.3) so that the old radio lobe has approximately a relative velocity  $v$  of the ram pressure wind in the rest frame of the galaxy.

First, we investigate the magnetic field strength in the galaxy jets that are generated by the central AGN and possibly amplified in the jet as an order of estimate to restrict the tail length neglecting reacceleration processes of the electrons. The magnetic field strength in the jets can be approximated by

$$B = \sqrt{8\pi P_B}, \quad (8)$$

where  $P_B$  is the magnetic pressure. Assuming that the surrounding ICM and the jets are in pressure equilibrium and equipartition of the cosmic ray and magnetic pressure ( $P_{\text{ICM}} = P_{\text{jet}} = P_B + P_{\text{CR}} \simeq 2P_B$ ) we find the magnetic field strength in the jets to be  $\sim 5 \mu\text{G}$  for HTII. This simplistic assumption provides us with a good estimate of the magnetic field strength of the galactic jets. While they are generally found to be highly dynamic, this estimate is nevertheless not too far from results obtained with full three-dimensional MHD simulations (Ehlert et al. 2021).

The cooling time of electrons that emit into a radio synchrotron frequency  $\nu_{\text{syn}}$  is defined by (e.g. Pfrommer & Jones 2011)

$$t_{\text{cool}} = \frac{\sqrt{54\pi m_e c e B \nu_{\text{syn}}^{-1}}}{\sigma_T (B_{\text{cmb}}^2 + B^2)}, \quad (9)$$

where  $m_e$  and  $e$  is the mass and charge of the electrons,  $c$  the speed of light,  $\sigma_T$  is the Thomson cross-section,  $B_{\text{cmb}} = 3.2(1+z)^2 \mu\text{G}$  is the equivalent magnetic field of the cosmic microwave background (at redshift  $z$ ), and  $B$  the magnetic field strength of the jet. Generally, the cooling time reaches its maximum at  $B = B_{\text{cmb}}/\sqrt{3} \simeq 2.06 \mu\text{G}$  corresponding to a cooling length of  $\sim 200$  kpc at 1.4 GHz while assuming a HT velocity of  $v \sim 1000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  as explained above. To achieve a more realistic value for the cooling length we adopt the equipartition values estimated above. We find, at an emission frequency of 1.4 GHz, a cooling time of  $t_{\text{cool}} = 9.5 \times 10^7 \text{ yr}$  ( $B = 5 \mu\text{G}$  for HTII) that corresponds to cooling length of  $\sim 100$  kpc. Most importantly, the cooling length of the electrons is found to be shorter than the maximum jet length of 560 kpc found at 1.4 GHz (Table 1). This makes a strong case for re-acceleration processes and is in line with our evidence for a turbulent flow in the relic jet fluid as explained in Section 4.2.

## 5 CONCLUSIONS

In this work, we have studied two HT galaxies members of the same cluster IIZW108 presenting observations in radio continuum and polarization, optical photometry and spectroscopy, and X-ray. We presented general properties of the head and tail in total and polarized intensity, their spectral index, fractional polarization, RM, and ordered magnetic field component as well as the evolution of these properties by building tracks through the galaxy jets. A summary of the values for the head and jets can be found in Table 3. We estimated important properties of the cluster ICM at the location

of the HT galaxies, which are found to be in agreement with other HT galaxies from the literature (see Table 4).

We found very extended jets pointing away from the cluster centre bent by the ram-pressure the galaxy is facing while falling into the cluster. We were therefore able to classify both galaxies as NATs. Both galaxies are found to have bright heads and diffuse jets and show an increasing flux at the most distant regions, which coincides with optical background sources and is therefore expected to be caused by line-of-sight projection effects such that the morphology is found to be in agreement with a typical FRI source, expected for NATs. We found the spectral index to steepen along the jets reaching values of  $-2.5$  for HTI and  $-3.5$  for HTII, while the fractional polarization was found to increase along the jets up to 70 per cent. The RM is found to be quite low in both galaxies with an r.m.s. of  $\sim 25 \text{ rad m}^{-2}$  and the ordered magnetic field component is generally found to be aligned with the jet directions. Nevertheless, we were able to identify exceptions, that we explained as follows:

(i) The galaxy jets experience a transition from laminar to turbulent flow, which causes gentle re-acceleration of the electrons that were firstly accelerated by the central AGN. We suggest turbulent re-energization as a possible process to explain the spectral index plateaus and the extended jets in both HT galaxies.

(ii) The complex morphology of HTII can be explained by two AGN outbursts (duty cycle) and a varying jet luminosity. The jets can be divided into two jets that are found to be dislocated due to the ram-pressure the galaxy is facing (the inactive jet behind the active jet), each corresponding to one AGN outburst. However, we find a weak bridge connecting the two-component jets suggesting that the AGN is never turned off completely. The optical spectra complement this picture where we found that both galaxies show no current AGN activity. Will another AGN outburst occur or might the AGN feeding material be shattered or stripped from the galaxy centre? Such questions need to be studied in further higher resolution data for HT galaxies and also in theoretical simulations.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to the anonymous referee for her/his constructive comments. We acknowledge Paolo Serra, Mpati Ramatsoku, and Jacqueline van Gorkom for their contribution to the  $L$ -band (1.4 GHz) data products used for this research. This research has used JVLA (project 17A-293, PI Poggianti and project 18B-018, PI Poggianti) and *Chandra* observation (obsID 10747, PI Murray). CP acknowledges support by the European Research Council under ERC-CoG grant CRAGSMAN-646955. AI, RP, MG, and BV acknowledge the Italian PRIN-Miur 2017 n.20173ML3WW\_001 (PI A. Cimatti). AIMo and BV acknowledge the financial contribution from the agreement ASI-INAF n.2017-14-H.0 (PI Moretti). AIMo, MG, BP, BV, and AB acknowledge funding from the INAF main-stream funding programme (PI B. Vulcani). AL acknowledges the financial support of the National Agency for Research and Development (ANID) / Scholarship Program / DOCTORADO BECA NACIONAL/2019-21190049. YJ acknowledges financial support from CONICYT PAI (Concurso Nacional de Inserción en la Academia 2017) No. 79170132 and FONDECYT Iniciación 2018 No. 11180558. Based on observations collected at the European Organization for Astronomical Research in the Southern Hemisphere under ESO programme 196.B-0578. This project has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement No. 833824).



## DATA AVAILABILITY


The data underlying this article will be shared at reasonable request to the corresponding author. The original data sets for the continuum analysis (JVLA) and X-ray studies (*Chandra*) can be downloaded from the corresponding archives.

## REFERENCES

- Abell G. O., 1958, *ApJS*, 3, 211
- Binggeli B., 1982, *A&A*, 107, 338
- Birzan L., Rafferty D. A., Nulsen P. E. J., McNamara B. R., Röttgering H. J. A., Wise M. W., Mittal R., 2012, *MNRAS*, 427, 3468
- Biviano A. et al., 2017, *A&A*, 607, A81
- Bliton M., Rizza E., Burns J. O., Owen F. N., Ledlow M. J., 1998, *MNRAS*, 301, 609
- Bourne M. A., Sijacki D., 2017, *MNRAS*, 472, 4707
- Bourne M. A., Sijacki D., Puchwein E., 2019, *MNRAS*, 490, 343
- Brentjens M. A., de Bruyn A. G., 2005, *A&A*, 441, 1217
- Brüggen M., Kaiser C. R., 2002, *Nature*, 418, 301
- Brüggen M., Ruszkowski M., Hallman E., 2005, *ApJ*, 630, 740
- Brunetti G., Lazarian A., 2007, *MNRAS*, 378, 245
- Brunetti G., Lazarian A., 2011, *MNRAS*, 410, 127
- Cavaliere A., Fusco-Femiano R., 1976, *A&A*, 500, 95
- Churazov E., Brüggen M., Kaiser C. R., Böhringer H., Forman W., 2001, *ApJ*, 554, 261
- Croston J. H., Ineson J., Hardcastle M. J., 2018, *MNRAS*, 476, 1614
- Cuciti V., Brunetti G., van Weeren R., Bonafede A., Dallacasa D., Cassano R., Venturi T., Kale R., 2018, *A&A*, 609, A61
- D’Onofrio M., Grado A., Bettoni D., Fritz J., Gullieuszk M., Moretti A., Omizzolo A., Poggianti B. M., 2020, *A&A*, 637, A54
- de Bruyn A. G., Brentjens M. A., 2005, *A&A*, 441, 931
- de Gasperin F. et al., 2017, *Sci. Adv.*, 3, e1701634
- Dressler A., Shectman S. A., 1988, *AJ*, 95, 985
- Durret F., Forman W., Gerbal D., Jones C., Vikhlinin A., 1998, *A&A*, 335, 41
- Dursi L. J., Pfrommer C., 2008, *ApJ*, 677, 993
- Eckhardt B., 2009, *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A.*, 367, 449
- Ehlert K., Weinberger R., Pfrommer C., Pakmor R., Springel V., 2018, *MNRAS*, 481, 2878
- Ehlert K., Pfrommer C., Weinberger R., Pakmor R., Springel V., 2019, *ApJ*, 872, L8
- Ehlert K., Weinberger R., Pfrommer C., Springel V., 2021, *MNRAS*, 503, 1327
- Fanaroff B. L., Riley J. M., 1974, *MNRAS*, 167, 31P
- Fasano G. et al., 2006, *A&A*, 445, 805
- Fritz J., Poggianti B. M., Cava A., Moretti A., WINGS Collaboration, 2011, *Balt. Astron.*, 20, 435
- Gaspari M., Brighenti F., Temi P., 2012, *MNRAS*, 424, 190
- Giacintucci S., Venturi T., 2009, *A&A*, 505, 55
- Gitti M., Brighenti F., McNamara B. R., 2012, *Adv. Astron.*, 2012, 950641
- Gullieuszk M. et al., 2015, *A&A*, 581, A41
- Guo F., Oh S. P., 2008, *MNRAS*, 384, 251
- Hada K. et al., 2018, *ApJ*, 860, 141
- Heinz S., Brüggen M., Young A., Levesque E., 2006, *MNRAS*, 373, L65
- Jacob S., Pfrommer C., 2017a, *MNRAS*, 467, 1449
- Jacob S., Pfrommer C., 2017b, *MNRAS*, 467, 1478
- Jones T. W., Nolting C., O’Neill B. J., Mendygral P. J., 2017, *Phys. Plasmas*, 24, 041402
- Klamer I., Subrahmanyan R., Hunstead R. W., 2004, *MNRAS*, 351, 101
- Laing R. A., Parma P., de Ruiter H. R., Fanti R., 1999, *MNRAS*, 306, 513
- Li Y. et al., 2020, *ApJ*, 889, L1
- Lytikov M., 2006, *MNRAS*, 373, 73
- Mao M. Y., Johnston-Hollitt M., Stevens J. B., Wotherspoon S. J., 2009, *MNRAS*, 392, 1070
- McKean J. P. et al., 2016, *MNRAS*, 463, 3143
- McMullin J. P., Waters B., Schiebel D., Young W., Golap K., 2007, in Shaw R. A., Hill F., Bell D. J., eds, *ASP Conf. Ser. Vol. 376, Astronomical Data Analysis Software and Systems XVI*. Astron. Soc. Pac., San Francisco, p. 127
- McNamara B. R., Nulsen P. E. J., 2007, *ARA&A*, 45, 117
- McNamara B. R., Nulsen P. E. J., 2012, *New J. Phys.*, 14, 055023
- Mendygral P. J., O’Neill S. M., Jones T. W., 2011, *ApJ*, 730, 100
- Miley G. K., 1973, *A&A*, 26, 413
- Miniati F., 2015, *ApJ*, 800, 60
- Moretti A. et al., 2014, *A&A*, 564, A138
- Moretti A. et al., 2017, *A&A*, 599, A81
- Müller A. et al., 2021, *Nat. Astron.*, 5, 159
- Nolting C., Jones T. W., O’Neill B. J., Mendygral P. J., 2019a, *ApJ*, 876, 154
- Nolting C., Jones T. W., O’Neill B. J., Mendygral P. J., 2019b, *ApJ*, 885, 80
- O’Dea C. P., Owen F. N., 1985, *AJ*, 90, 954
- O’Neill S. M., Jones T. W., 2010, *ApJ*, 710, 180
- O’Neill B. J., Jones T. W., Nolting C., Mendygral P. J., 2019a, *ApJ*, 884, 12
- O’Neill B. J., Jones T. W., Nolting C., Mendygral P. J., 2019b, *ApJ*, 887, 26
- Offringa A. R., van de Gronde J. J., Roerdink J. B. T. M., 2012, *A&A*, 539, A95
- Omizzolo A. et al., 2014, *A&A*, 561, A111
- Perucho M., Martí J. M., 2007, *MNRAS*, 382, 526
- Pfrommer C., 2013, *ApJ*, 779, 10
- Pfrommer C., Dursi J. L., 2010, *Nat. Phys.*, 6, 520
- Pfrommer C., Jones T. W., 2011, *ApJ*, 730, 22
- Pfrommer C., Enßlin T. A., Sarazin C. L., 2005, *A&A*, 430, 799
- Pinzke A., Oh S. P., Pfrommer C., 2017, *MNRAS*, 465, 4800
- Ramatsoku M. et al., 2019, *MNRAS*, 487, 4580
- Ramella M. et al., 2007, *A&A*, 470, 39
- Ruszkowski M., Begelman M. C., 2002, *ApJ*, 581, 223
- Ruszkowski M., Brüggen M., Begelman M. C., 2004, *ApJ*, 611, 158
- Ruszkowski M., Enßlin T. A., Brüggen M., Heinz S., Pfrommer C., 2007, *MNRAS*, 378, 662
- Ruszkowski M., Yang H. Y. K., Reynolds C. S., 2017, *ApJ*, 844, 13
- Saripalli L., 2012, *AJ*, 144, 85
- Sault R. J., Teuben P. J., Wright M. C. H., 1995, in Shaw R. A., Payne H. E., Hayes J. J. E., eds, *ASP Conf. Ser. Vol. 77, Astronomical Data Analysis Software and Systems IV*. Astron. Soc. Pac., San Francisco, p. 433
- Sijacki D., Pfrommer C., Springel V., Enßlin T. A., 2008, *MNRAS*, 387, 1403
- Soker N., 2016, *New Astron. Rev.*, 75, 1
- Srivastava S., Singal A. K., 2020, *MNRAS*, 493, 3811
- Terni de Gregory B., Feretti L., Giovannini G., Govoni F., Murgia M., Perley R. A., Vacca V., 2017, *A&A*, 608, A58
- Valentinuzzi T. et al., 2009, *A&A*, 501, 851
- Venkatesan T. C. A., Batuski D. J., Hanisch R. J., Burns J. O., 1994, *ApJ*, 436, 67
- Wang C., Ruszkowski M., Pfrommer C., Oh S. P., Yang H. Y. K., 2021, *MNRAS*, 504, 898
- Weinberger R., Ehlert K., Pfrommer C., Pakmor R., Springel V., 2017, *MNRAS*, 470, 4530
- Winner G., Pfrommer C., Girichidis P., Pakmor R., 2019, *MNRAS*, 488, 2235
- Zhuravleva I., Churazov E., Schekochihin A. A., Allen S. W., Vikhlinin A., Werner N., 2019, *Nat. Astron.*, 3, 832

## APPENDIX A: OPTICAL COUNTERPARTS

Fig. A1 shows three different optical filter observations of a region where we detect bright radio sources at 2.7 GHz corrupting the total intensity, spectral index, and/or fractional polarization findings of the diffuse jet emission. The optical source in the top left-hand panels (corresponds to the ‘1’ square in all figures of HTI, Figs 1, 4, and 6) coincides with the peak emission in radio continuum and is therefore expected to be its optical counterpart. Overall, properties are corrected for this source by modelling its contribution by a circular mask, the tracks through the jets are not affected by this source. The top and bottom right-hand panels (corresponding to



**Figure A1.** Optical images ( $B$ ,  $V$ , and  $K$ ) at the location of four blue squares shown in the main text Figures (‘1’ top left, ‘2’ top right, and ‘3’ bottom left of HTI and ‘1’ bottom right of HTII.) found to be in superposition with the diffuse emission of the galaxy jets. The radio contours are shown in red and the last panel of each source shows the continuum emission for comparison.

‘2’ of HTI, Figs 1, 4, and 6 and ‘1’ of HTII, Figs 1, 7, and 9, respectively) show two sources that are found to be in superposition with the jet emission. Both can be identified with an optical source, the first being quite faint, also in the  $V$  band, where it is found to be brightest while the second stated is found to be strong in  $V$  and  $K$  band. Their peak in continuum emission does not coincide with the probable optical counterpart, however, taking the low resolution in radio continuum as well as the superposition with the diffuse jet emission into account the small coordinate offset is negligible. We identify these two sources as the origin for the bright continuum emission and discussed our findings respectively. The bottom left-


hand panel of Fig. A1 coincides with the ‘3’ square in Figs 1, 4, and 6, where we find very bright polarized emission but no associated bright continuum emission. Its origin cannot be traced back to one specific optical background source and remain unclear.

#### APPENDIX B: DEPOLARIZATION?

The polarized emission at  $15 \text{ arcsec} \times 15 \text{ arcsec}$  resolution (Figs 6 and 9) is found to show several substructures that could not be identified in total intensity (Figs 4 and 7). To test whether this is an intrinsic characteristic of the galaxy jets we produced the

highest possible resolution with an 8.7 arcsec circular beam. The polarized emission results are shown in Fig. B1 and the contours of the lower resolution images are shown in green for comparison. By increasing the resolution by a factor of two we lose a lot of sensitivity in the galaxy jets but nevertheless, the bright, clumpy

features identified in the lower resolution data are also detected at higher resolution. Only marginal changes can be identified, however, the depolarization effects possibly occur at even smaller scales and higher resolution deep observations are needed to exclude the depolarization as reasoning.



**Figure B1.** Higher resolution polarized intensity maps of HTI (top left) and HTII (bottom left) at 8.7 arcsec circular beam (grey circle) and lower resolution maps on the right for comparison. The position of the head is marked with a grey cross and the polarization contours of the higher and lower resolution map are shown in black.

This paper has been typeset from a  $\text{\TeX}/\text{\LaTeX}$  file prepared by the author.